## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2012 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-29-50 =	073-31-48 = -
New York [36] Rensselaer County [083]		Nassau [49517] 0.7 MI E JCT RTS		S 20 & 66		42-27-30 =	73.530000	
1016020 Highway agenc		agency district: 14	Owner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance responsibility State Hig		State Highway Ag	jency [01]
Route 20 RTE 20			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected KINDERHOU			OK CREEK		
main		Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint   2773.9 km = 1719.8 mi     Year built   1927     Year reconstructed   1985     Skew angle   0     Structure Flared				
Historical significance   Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]     Total length   31.6 m = 103.7 ft   Length of maximum span 30.4 m = 99.7 ft   Deck width, out-to-out   9.8 m = 32.2 ft   Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb   9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Curb or sidewalk				dth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$		Curb or side	walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Integral		Integral Concrete (se	gral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]					
Deck protection Epc		Epoxy Coated Reinfo	poxy Coated Reinforcing [1]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
		letermine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	ntory rating	3.5 metric ton =	47.9 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	rating rating 7	2.6 metric ton =	79.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above le			al loads [5]		ign Load			

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic     5000     Average daily tr	uck traffi 14 % Year 2011 Future average dai	aily traffic 7000 Year 2031			
Road classification Principal Arterial - Other (Rural)	[02] Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median Closed median (no barriers) [2]			
Parallel structure designation No parallel structur	e exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation	n control			
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 =	D = N/A			
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum ver	ertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance refe	Ference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]			
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]				
Bridge deck replacement with only incidental widening. [37]	Bridge improvement cost 2868000 Roa	loadway improvement cost 1680000			
	Length of structure improvement 31.6 m = 103.7 ft	ft Total project cost 4548000			
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011				
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state			
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Somewhat bette in place as is [5	er than minimum adequacy to tolerate ]	being left Status	is evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection			Suffici	ciency rating 63.9				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culv	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends							
Inspection date March 2011 [	0311] Des	signated inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ection date					
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical in	nspection date	March 2011 [0311]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special ins	pection date					