The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-24-36 =	076-30-50 = -
New York [36]	Tompkins County [109]	Ithaca [38088]	AT BUTTERMILK FALLS			42.410000	76.513889
1050270 Highway agency district 36		Owner County Highway	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0 KING ROAD		Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected BUTTERMII			K CREEK		
Design - Masonry [8] 1 Arch - Deck		Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1929 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure F	constructed 1999 lared s not eligible for the		
Total length 21.3 m = 69.9 ft Length of maximum span 20.1 m = 65.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.3 m = 30.5 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 8.1 m = 26.6 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 8.1 m = 26.6 ft		Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side		walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type Not applicable [N]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]		Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection Not applicable		Not applicable (applie	applies only to structures with no deck) [N]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine in the model of the model.		mine inventory rating	No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] Inve	entory rating	17.2 metric ton =	= 18.9 tons	
		mine operating rating	No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] Ope	erating rating	44.1 metric ton =	48.5 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loa			egal loads [5]	Des	sign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 805 Average daily tr	uck traffi 6 % Year 2001 Future average daily traffic 1011 Year 2021							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6 m = 19.7 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 494000 Roadway improvement cost 295000							
or replacements [e i]	Length of structure improvement 21.3 m = 69.9 ft Total project cost 789000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as						
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry	is [5]						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than mini in place as is [5]	mum adequacy to tolerate l	being left Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 65.5						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected fe	eature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpected fe	eature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						