HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Infor	rmation						41-58-38.93 =	073-56-43.63
New York [36]		Ulster County [111]		Ulster [75935]		41.977481	= -73.945453	
5040010		Highway agency district: 86		Owner State Toll Authority [31]		Maintenance responsibilit	y State Toll Authority	/ [31]
Route 199 RTE 199		E 199	Toll Toll bridge [1] Features int		eatures intersected COUNT	TY ROAD 37, HUDSON F	?	
main app		approach	continuous [4]	Year built 1957	m = 0.0 mi Year reconstructed	2002		
10 Truss - Deck [09] 21 Girde		er and noorbeam system [05]	Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure Flared Historical significance	e is not determinable at th	nis time. [4]		
Total length 2375.3 m = 7793.4 ft Length of maximum span 243.8 m = 799.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13.2 m = 43.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 12.2 m = 40.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 12.2 m = 40.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left						t Curb or	sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structu	ure type		Other [9]					
Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (see				eparate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]				
Deck protection Unknown [8]			Unknown [8]					
Type of mer	mbrane/wea	ring surface						
Weight Lim	nits							
6.7 km = 4.2 mi			ermine inventory rating Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inve	entory rating 26.3 metric	ton = 28.9 tons	
			ermine operating rating	mine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1]		erating rating 41.7 metric	ton = 45.9 tons	
			legal loads [5]	Des	sign Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 21183 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 29656 Year 2034						
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 12.4 m = 40.7 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-railroad [8] Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearanc 40.2 m = 131.9 ft	Navigation vertical clearance 40.2 m = 131.9 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 236.5 m = 776.0 ft						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 1.9 m = 6.2 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 13.99 m = 45.9 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]							
Repair and Replacement Plans	Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 275000 Roadway improvement cost 161000						
or replacement. [54]	Length of structure improvement 2375.3 m = 7793.4 ft Total project cost 436000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2014						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations de	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better that in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection	None present but re-	evaluation suggested [5]	Sufficiency rating 75.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpe	cted feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpe	cted feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpe	cted feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpe	cted feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date May 2015 [0	Designat	ed inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	June 2012 [0612]					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	nspection date May 2015 [0515]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					