The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-14-52 =	078-12-43 = -
New York [36] Orleans County [073]		Albion [01044] 1 MI W JCT BARGE C & SH98			43.247778	78.211944		
4445130 Highway agency district: 45		Owner State Highway A	wner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ago	ency [01]	
Route 0	oute 0 LATTINS FARM DRVE Toll On free road [3] Features intersected COUNTY ROAD 2, ERIE CANA							
Design - main  Steel [3] Design - approach  Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other		[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi  Year built 1911 Year reconstructed N/2  Skew angle 0 Structure Flared			[0000]		
				Historical significance	Historic	al significance is r	not determinable at t	his time. [4]
Total length $\begin{bmatrix} 66.7 \text{ m} = 218.8 \text{ ft} \end{bmatrix}$ Length of maximum span $\begin{bmatrix} 28.6 \text{ m} = 93.8 \text{ ft} \end{bmatrix}$ Deck width, out-to-out $\begin{bmatrix} 4 \text{ m} = 13.1 \text{ ft} \end{bmatrix}$ Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb $\begin{bmatrix} 3.3 \text{ m} = 10.8 \text{ ft} \end{bmatrix}$								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.3 m = 10.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right								
Deck structure type	Оре	en Grating [3]						
Type of wearing surface Other [9		ner [9]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length  0.1 km = 0.1 mi  Method to dete		ermine inventory rating  Allowable Stres		) [2] Inv	ventory rating	14.5 metric ton =	= 16.0 tons	
U. I KIII = U. I IIII	Method to determine	e operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS	) [2] O <sub>F</sub>	erating rating	21.8 metric ton =	= 24.0 tons	
	Bridge posting 10	0.0 - 19.9 % belo	w [3]	De	esign Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 75 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2001 Future average daily traffic 104 Year 2021								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.2 m = 13.8 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearanc 4.8 m = 15.7 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 28.6 m = 93.8 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0.9 m = 3.0 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 3.38 m = 11.1 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]								
Donair and Danlacement Dlane									
Repair and Replacement Plans  Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 99000 Roadway improvement cost 50000								
	Length of structure improvement 66.7 m = 218.8 ft Total project cost 149000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2008								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	Appraisal ratings - structural			to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intole	igh priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have n	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than minim in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Status evaluation  Functionally obsolete [2]							
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functioning [2]	In place and functioning [2]			47.4				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	S								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date December 2008 [1208] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection   Not needed [N]   Underwater inspection date									
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	nspection date December 2008 [1208]		3 [1208]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						