HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	rmation														44-16-43.48 =	075-58-41.88
New York [36]		Jefferson County [045]			Or	Orleans [55398] 5.0 MI.SW OF Al			LEXANDRIA B				44-10-43.46 =	= -75.978300		
3339270		Highway	y agency	y district 73	C	Owner (County Highwa	y Agency [0.	2]	1	Maintena	nce res	ponsibility		County Highway i	Agency [02]
Route 0			LEDGE	ES ROAD			Toll On fr	ee road [3]		Feat	ures inte	rsected	MULLET	CRE	EEK	
Design - main	Steel [3]			Design - approach				Kilometer _l Year built			km = 99. Year		structed N	I/A [0	0000]	
1	Truss - Thr	u [10]		0	Other [00]]		Skew ang	e 0		Structur	re Flare	d			
								Historical	significa	ance	Brid	ge is no	t eligible fo	or the	e NRHP. [5]	
Total lengtl	h 21.3 m =	= 69.9 ft	Leng	gth of maxim	um span	18.8 m =	61.7 ft	Deck wid	dth, out-	to-out 6	6.9 m = 2	22.6 ft	Bridge r	oadw	vay width, curb-to-	curb 6.6 m = 21.7 ft
Inventory F	Route, Total	l Horizontal Cle	aranc	6.6 m = 21	7 ft	Cur	b or sidewalk v	vidth - left	0 m =	0.0 ft			Curb or s	sidew	valk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck struc	ture type		Wo	ood or Timbe	er [8]											
Type of we	earing surfa	ce	Bit	tuminous [6]												
Deck prote	ection															
Type of me	embrane/we	earing surface	Ot	her [9]												
Weight Lir																
71	letour length	n Method to	determi	ne inventory	rating	Load	Factor(LF) [1]			Invent	ory rating	g 29	metric ton	ı = 31	1.9 tons	
19.9 km =	12.3 MI	Method to	determi	ne operating	rating	Load	Factor(LF) [1]			Opera	ting ratin	ıg 49	metric ton	1 = 53	3.9 tons	
		Bridge pos	sting E	Equal to or a	oove legal	loads [5	5]			Desigr	n Load	MS 22.	5 / HS 25 (or gre	eater [9]	

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 51 Average daily to	ruck traffi 8 % Year 2014 Future	e average daily traffic 71	Year 2034							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Appro	ach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic	c [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designatio No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract	[1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 426000	Roadway improvement	cost 249000							
or opiacomona (c ·)	Length of structure improvement 21.3	S m = 69.9 ft Total project	cost 675000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 20	14								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimur						
Condition ratings - substructure	Excellent [9]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as						
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry	is [5]						
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to preser	t minimum criteria [6]	Stat	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Suff	Sufficiency rating 78.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently accept	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently accept							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date August 2015	[0815] Des	signated inspection frequency 24	Month	S					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	tion date						
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	pection date	August 2015 [0815]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date						