HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 43-12-30 = 075-37-12 = -								075-37-12 = -
New York [36]	Oneida County [065]		Verona [77178] 1.4 MI.W.INT.RTE.46+CANAI		6+CANAL		43.208333	75.620000
4426070	26070 Highway agency district: 26			Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		ponsibility St	tate Highway Age	ency [01]
Route 0	RTE	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersected	ERIE BARGE C	CANAL		
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Truss - Thru [10] Design - approach Slab [6]			Kilometerpoint Year built 1912 Skew angle 0	Year recons Structure Flared	structed 1954			
				Historical significanc	e Bridge is not	t eligible for the N	IRHP. [5]	
Total length $55.5 \text{ m} = 182.1 \text{ ft}$ Length of maximum span $22.6 \text{ m} = 74.2 \text{ ft}$ Deck width, out-to-out $5 \text{ m} = 16.4 \text{ ft}$ Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb $4.6 \text{ m} = 15.1 \text{ ft}$								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.5 m = 14.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right							0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating				0	perating rating 30.	.8 metric ton = 21 .6 metric ton = 33		
	Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % belo	w [၁]	D	esign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 200 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 1991 Future average daily traffic 2465 Year 2010							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.6 m = 15.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 45.7 m = 149.9 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 641000 Roadway improvement cost 74000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 73.8 m = 242.1 ft Total project cost 1118000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation I	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]						
Channel and channel protection	There are no noticeable or no	There are no noticeable or noteworthy deficiencies which affect the condition of the channel. [9]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequa-	Equal to present desirable cr	iteria [8]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not req	uired [1]	Sufficiency rating 22.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date October 199	1 [1091] Designated inspe	ection frequency 12	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					