WASO Form - 177 ("R" June 1984)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

s > 8/16

NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES EVALUATION/RETURN SHEET

Manhattan Bridge
Kings/New York County
NEW YORK

NEW YORK				
				Working NoJUL_ 1 3 ISS3
			•	Fed. Reg. Date: /
				Date Due: 8/11/83 - 8/27/83
				Action:ACCEPT
resubmission				<u> </u>
nomination by person	or local governm	nent		REJECT
owner objection				Federal Agency:
appeal				
Substantive Review:	sample	☐ request	☐ appeal	☐ NR decision
Reviewer's comments:				
			•	Recom./Criteria
		1		Reviewer
		!		Discipline
				Date
				see continuation sheet
Nomination returned for:	•	corrections cited belo re reasons discussed be		
1. Name				
2. Location				
3. Classification				
Category	Ownership Public Acq	uisition	Status Accessible	Present Use
Category 4. Owner of Property	·	uisition		Present Use
	Public Acq	uisition		Present Use
4. Owner of Property	Public Acq	uisition		Present Use
4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Desc	Public Acq ription ting Surveys			Present Use
4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Desc 6. Representation in Exist	Public Acq ription ting Surveys		Accessible	Present Use
 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Desc 6. Representation in Exist Has this property been determined 	Public Acq ription ting Surveys	?	Accessible	Present Use Check one
 4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Desc 6. Representation in Exist Has this property been det 7. Description 	Public Acq ription ting Surveys	? □ yes 〔	Accessible	
4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Desc 6. Representation in Exist Has this property been det 7. Description Condition	Public Acq ription ting Surveys termined eligible	?	Accessible no	Check one
4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Desc 6. Representation in Exist Has this property been det 7. Description Condition excellent	Public Acq	?	Accessible no k one unaltered	Check one
4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Desc 6. Representation in Exist Has this property been det 7. Description Condition excellent good	ription ting Surveys termined eligible deteriorated ruins unexposed	?	Accessible no k one unaltered	Check one
4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Desc 6. Representation in Exist Has this property been det 7. Description Condition excellent good fair Describe the present and of	ription ting Surveys termined eligible deteriorated ruins unexposed	?	Accessible no k one unaltered	Check one
4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Desc 6. Representation in Exist Has this property been det 7. Description Condition excellent good fair Describe the present and company paragraph	ription ting Surveys termined eligible deteriorated ruins unexposed	?	Accessible no k one unaltered	Check one
4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Desc 6. Representation in Exist Has this property been det 7. Description Condition excellent good fair Describe the present and completeness	ription ting Surveys termined eligible deteriorated ruins unexposed	?	Accessible no k one unaltered	Check one
4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Desc 6. Representation in Exist Has this property been det 7. Description Condition excellent good fair Describe the present and completeness clarity	ription ting Surveys termined eligible deteriorated ruins unexposed	?	Accessible no k one unaltered	Check one
4. Owner of Property 5. Location of Legal Desc 6. Representation in Exist Has this property been det 7. Description Condition excellent good fair Describe the present and completeness	ription ting Surveys termined eligible deteriorated ruins unexposed	?	Accessible no k one unaltered	Check one

8. Significance
Period Areas of Significance—Check and justify below
Specific dates Builder/Architect Statement of Significance (in one paragraph)
summary paragraph completeness clarity applicable criteria justification of areas checked relating significance to the resource context relationship of integrity to significance justification of exception other
9. Major Bibliographical References
10. Geographical Data
Acreage of nominated property Quadrangle name UTM References ruminated area is more that 10 acres, please provide 3 or more UTM reference points. Verbal boundary description and justification
11. Form Prepared By
12. State Historic Preservation Officer Certification The evaluated significance of this property within the state is: nationalstatelocal State Historic Preservation Officer signature
title date
13. Other
☐ Maps ☐ Photographs ☐ Other
Questions concerning this nomination may be directed to
Signed Signed Date $\frac{3/1/83}{9}$ Phone: $\frac{3+3-9533}{3+3-9533}$

United States Department of the Interior Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form



See instructions in *How to Complete National Register Forms*Type all entries—complete applicable sections

1. Nam	e—complete applicab	ie sections			
historic Mani	hattan Bridge		·		
and/or common					
2. Loca	ation	***	-		
	East River, betw Canal Street, Ma	een Front Streenhattan	et, Brookl	yn, and	not for publication
city, town Brook	klyn/New York	· vicin	ity of		
state New York	k (code 036	county Ki	ngs/New York	code 47/61
3. Clas	sification				
Category district building(s) structure site object	Ownership X public private both Public Acquisition NA in process NA being considered	Status X occupied unoccupi work in p Accessible yes: resti X yes: unre	l led rogress ricted	Present Use agriculture commercial educational entertainment government industrial military	museum museum park private residence religious transportation other:
	er of Prop	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ces; Henry	Fulton, Departm	ent of Transportatio
street & number	1800 Municipal	Building; 40	Worth Str	eet	
city, town Ne	ew York	vicin	ity of	state	New York 10007
5. Loca	ation of Le	gal Desc	ription		
courthouse, regi	Ki stry of deeds, etc. Ne	ng's County Reg w York County I	gister's O: Register's	ffice; Office	
street & number	Municipal Buil	ding, Joralemor	1 Street;	31 Chambers Str	eet
city, town Broo	oklyn; New York		····	stateN	lew York 11201; 10007
6. Rep	resentatio	n in Exist	ting Su	ırveys	
Landmarks title (LP-0899	s Preservation Co 9)		s this proper	ty been determined e	legible? yes _X_ no
date Novembe	er 25, 1975			federal sta	ite county X_ local
depository for su	urvey recordsLandmar	ks Preservation	n Commissi	on, 20 Vesey Str	eet
city, town Ne	ew York			state	New York 10007

Condition excellent X good fair	deteriorated ruins	Check one unaltered X altered	Check one X original site moved date NA	

Describe the present and original (if known) physical appearance

7. Pescripti 1

The Manhattan Bridge is a suspension bridge spanning the East River from the Lower East Side of Manhattan to the downtown section of Brooklyn. The nominated property includes the bridge itself, with the two towers which support it and the majestic stone colonnade at the Manhattan approach. This extends a total length of 6375 feet. The bridge is constructed of steel with two flexible steel towers from which the bridge deck is suspended by means of four spun steel cables. The total clear span of the bridge, between the towers is 1470 feet and it has a maximum clearance of 135 feet above mean high water. It is approximately 160 feet wide, has two levels for vehicular traffic, and also carries a subway line. It is not open to pedestrians. The steel towers rest upon cut-stone masonry footings, and the piers and abutments on land are massive, monumental stone constructions. The steel portions of the bridge have a minimum of decorative detail. The towers terminate in pointed arches and are surmounted by spherical finials. The design is functional and structurally expressive. The stone piers and abutments are massive structures composed of round and segmental arches with smooth rustication.

There is a sharp contrast between the purely functional design of the bridge itself and the monumental portal at the Manhattan end. The Manhattan portal is composed of an elliptical colonnade, modeled on St. Peter's Colonnade in Rome by Bernini, and a triumphal arch, inspired by the seventeenth-century Porte St. Denis in Paris by Blondel. The arch is of rusticated white granite with a central arched opening thirty-six feet by forty feet spanning the roadway. The archway is flanked by pylons ornamented with engaged obelisks embellished by sculpted reliefs of figures and ornament set above pedimented doorways. This sculpture, like the arch itself, was inspired by the Porte St. Denis and was designed by the sculptor C.A. Heber. The interior of the barrel-vaulted arch is coffered, and the enframement contains heraldic devices. A cartouche with a fantastic animal head forms the keystone. A frieze of Indians hunting buffalo is set above the arch and is the work of Carl Rumsey. The arch terminates in a modillioned cornice and a low classical attic.

The colonnade extends approximately half the length of the plaza, and the colonnade is composed of Tuscan columns resting on pedestals facing the ellipse. Behind the columns are lower rusticated piers, the outer faces of which are smooth pilasters along the outer edges of the colonnade. Slabs carved with heraldic ornament surmount the piers of the colonnade. The colonnade terminates in pilasters attached to rusticated piers at each end, and it is surmounted by an entablature and balustrades.

The Brooklyn approach (never a true portal) was originally adorned by two monumental decorative pylons that featured granite statues — female personifications of Brooklyn and Manhattan by Daniel Chester French. The pylons were dismantled in 1963 as part of a roadbuilding program and the statues were moved to the entrance of the Brooklyn Museum. In its present condition there is no formal entrance at the Brooklyn approach and Flatbush Avenue Extension leads directly onto the bridge approach.

8. Significal :e

Period prehistoric 1400–1499 1500–1599 1600–1699 1700–1799 1800–1899 1900–	Areas of Significance—C archeology-prehistoric archeology-historic agricultureX architecture art commerce communications	community plan conservation economics education engineering		religion science sculpture social/ humanitarian theater transportation other (specify)	
Specific dates	1900;1906-09;1912-15	Builder/Architect	Gustav Lindenthal, O.F. Carrère & Hastings	Nichols (Engineers	
Statement of S	ignificance (in one paragi	aph)	(Parker-Ryan Construction Co.)		

The Manhattan Bridge is a significant monumental engineering work of the early twentieth century. Built between 1901 and 1909, the suspension bridge as designed by engineer OF. Nichols incorporated innovative features in its shallow Warren stiffening truses and its flexible steel towers. Beaux-Arts style entrance portals designed by the prominent architectural firm of Carrere & Hastings were built to define the approaches to the bridge. Despite alleged inconsistencey between its modern utilitarian form and its applied architectural embellishment, the Manhattan Bridge is significant as a transitional structure in the emergence of modern design principles and remains a prominent crossing of the Fast Piver

a prominent crossing of the East River.

The design of the Manhattan Bridge, the third bridge built across the East River, aroused considerable controversy. After the triumph of Roebling's Brooklyn Bridge (1867-83), the Williamsburg Bridge (1896-1903) was considered quite ugly. The nineteenthcentury schism between "unscientific" architects and "inartistic" engineers had become apparent. The popular practice of calling in an architect to "beautify" the exterior of a structure that had been designed without regard for aesthetic principles was vehemently attacked by architectural critic Montgomery Schuyler and architect Henry F. Hornbostel. They found an ally in engineer Gustav Lindenthal who, on becoming bridge commissioner in 1901, had early designs for the Manhattan Bridge redone and engaged Henry Hornbostel as architect. The new design, considered by many an advance in artistic engineering, involved the use of eye-bar chains in place of cables, a structural system whose feasibility was disputed. Although the design engineer favored the new system, city officials favored the proven cable construction and appointed George Best as the new bridge commissioner and Carrère & Hastings as the new architectural firm. They continued the enlightened approach of their predecessors, restudying the Hornbostel designs in 1904, and incorporating them where possible into their own designs. Carrere & Hastings also worked closely with the engineers of the Bridge Department, then under chief engineer O.F. Nichols. The bridge was formally opened to traffic on December 31, 1909.

Carrere & Hastings drew up preliminary plans for improving the Manhattan approach to the Manhattan Bridge and in 1912 presented fully developed plans for an elliptical plaza, culminating in a monumental arch and colonnade, to the New York City Art Commission. The approach was designed to accommodate eight lanes of tracks for both subways and surface railroads, while providing for other vehicular and pedestrian traffic. Landscaped areas adjacent to the plaza completed the ensemble.

Although the appropriateness of the classical arch and colonnade as a gateway to a modern steel suspension bridge has been criticized as inconsistent, when its plans were published in 1913 the New York Times hailed it as the "most artistic treatment of a bridge entrance attempted on this continent." This architectural treatment was chosen to emphasize the importance of this bridge as a gateway from Manhattan to Brooklyn.

(See continuation sheet.)

United States Department of the Interior Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Manhattan Bridge,
Continuation sheet Kings and New York Counties Item number 8



Page

Carrere & Hastings, who had studied at the Ecole des Beaux-Arts, were well prepared to design buildings and civic monuments in this eclectic style. The success of the World's Columbian Exposition of 1893 in Chicago inspired the "City Beautiful" movement at the turn of the century, which favored neo-classical architecture set in great civic centers, linked by wide avenues and incorporating formal parks. In New York, this interest in civic beautification led to the establishment of the Municipal Art Society and a New York City Art Commission with powers of review over public buildings and works of art, and to the introduction of the New York Improvement Plan of 1907—the city's first general urban plan since the Commissioners' Plan of 1811. Primarily aesthetic in orientation, the 1907 plan recommended incorporating plazas, parks, and wide vistas at major transportation intersections.

A huge circular plaza was designed to connect the entrances to the Brooklyn and Manhattan Bridges from which traffic would radiate out onto principal streets. Although the plan was never implemented, its precepts are evident in the design of the Manhattan Bridge approach and the approaches to the other East River bridges that were redesigned during this period under an ambitious program of improvements undertaken by bridge commissioner Arthur J. O'Keefe. For O'Keefe and many of his contemporaries, bridges were an ornament to the city, and he felt that the construction of these plazas would "mark an era in aesthetic treatment of the entrances," hitherto neglected in this country.

See continuation she	et		
10. Geograp	nical Data		
Acreage of nominated propert			
Quadrangle name	y		
UMT References			Quadrangle scale 1:24000
Cone Casting	4 15 0 17 4 17 10 Northing 4 戸 り 声 7 の 10	B 5 8 Zone Eastin	· Horting
E			5 7 9 0 4 5 0 7 5 0 0
Verbal boundary description	n and justification. The	——————————————————————————————————————	
point near the intersect the East River and has a total length, including t List all states and counties	western terminus at C the Manhattan approach	anal Street and	rty has an eastern terminus at nue Extension in Brooklyn, span I the Bowery in Manhattan. The (See Continuation Sheet)
state New York	. Parties overlapping	state or county b	oundaries
state New York	code 036 cou	unty New York	code 61
11. Form Prep	code 036 cou	inty Kings	code 47
organization Historic Preserstreet & number Agency 1,		Bureaudate Se	eptember 1982
city or town		telephone	(518)474-0479
ALDAILY		state	New York 12238
national	property within the state is:		er Certification
As the designated State Historic P (65), I hereby nominate this prope according to the criteria and proce state Historic Preservation Officer	reservation Officer for the Nat rty for inclusion in the National dures set forth by the Heritag	tional Historic Preser	vation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89– y that it has been evaluated Recreation Service.
	- WWW	1. Call	re
tle Deputy Commission	ler and Counsel		date 7// / /3

9. Major Bibliographical References

Continuation sheet

United States Department of the Interior Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Manhattan Bridge Kings and New York CountiesItem number



Page 2

Condit, Carl. American Building Art: The Twentieth Century, New York: Oxford University Press, 1961.

Department of Bridges. City of New York. Annual Reports, 1905-1915.

New York City Art Commission. "Improvements of the Manhattan Plaza of the Manhattan Bridge." Exhibits 63-AW through 63-DS. 1912-1916.

Pinco, C.N. "Plaza Improvements of the Manhattan Bridge, New York City." The Cornell Engineer, 1915.

112

United States Department of the Interior Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Manhattan Bridge Continuation sheet New York County and

Kings County.

Item number 10

Page 2

New York

The property is indicated on the attached maps. (Manhattan Map Scale 120 feet to one inch; Brooklyn Map Scale 60 feet to one inch). Maps are not included for the middle section of the bridge that spans the East River.

FHR-8-300 (11-78)

Continuation sheet

United States Department of the Interior Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

National Register of Historic Places Inventory—Nomination Form

Manhattan Bridge New York County and

Kings County, New York

item number

11

Page

2

FORM RESEARCHED AND PREPARED BY:

Nancy Goeschel Landmarks Preservation Commission 20 Vesey Street New York, New York 10007

September 1982 212-566-7577