## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2009 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							12 12 14	070 20 25
New York [36] Niagara County [063]		Middleport [46998] 0.5 MI N JCT RT:		S 271 + 31		43-12-40 =	78.476389	
4454020Highway agency district:54		Owner State Highway A	gency [01]	Maintenance responsibility S		State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 271 RTE 271			Toll On free	e road [3]	Features intersect	ed ERIE CANAL		
Design - mainSteel [3]Design - approachSteel [ approach1Movable - Lift [15]2Stringe			J Kilometerpoint   Year built 1915   /Multi-beam or girder [02] Skew angle 24   Historical signification Historical signification		123.4 km = 76.5 mi   Year reconstructed   Structure Flared   Yes, flared [1]   nce   Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]			his time. [4]
Total length 43.3 m = 142.1 ft Length of maximum span 34.7 m = 113.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.8 m = 25.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.2 m = 23.6 ft								
Inventory Route, Total	Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.2 m = 23.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.8 m = 5.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.8 m = 5.9 ft							
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (sep		arate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		nventory rating	rating 32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tons			
0.1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS)	[2]	Operating rating 47.2 metric ton = 51.9 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 1166 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2008	Future average daily traffic 14	441 Year 2028				
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway width	9.7 m = 31.8 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5] Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control Na	avigation control on waterw	vay (bridge permit required). [1]			
Navigation vertical clearance4.8 m = 15.7 ftNavigation horizontal clearance28.6 m = 93.8 ft							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0.3 m = 1.0 ft	Minimum vertical clearance	e over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Renair and Renlacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by co	ontract [1]					
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation	Dridge improvement east		(2000				
or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost	Roadway Improv	vement cost 62000				
	Length of structure improvement	43.3 m = 142.1 ft Total	project cost 186000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2008					
	Border bridge - state	Border	bridge - percent responsi	bility of other state			
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to prese	ent desirable criter	desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well verify required or are in a stable cor	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present minimum cri	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection	None present but re-evaluation	None present but re-evaluation suggested [5]			62.9			
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	Not applicab	le or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date November 2008 [1108] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	November 2008				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					