

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

New York [36]	Orange County [071]	Port Jervis [59388]	JCT US6 & NEVERSINK RIVER	41-21-40.49 = 41.361247	074-41-06.67 = -74.685186
2003050	Highway agency district: 83	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibility City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]		
Route 6	RTE 6	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected NEVERSINK RIVER		
Design - main Steel [3]	Design - approach	Kilometerpoint 313.8 km = 194.6 mi	Year built 1929	Year reconstructed N/A [0000]	
1	Truss - Thru [10]	0 Other [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared	
		Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]		
Total length 42 m = 137.8 ft	Length of maximum span 40.8 m = 133.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 9.9 m = 32.5 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft		
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Integral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]				
Deck protection	Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]				
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 0.4 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	23.6 metric ton = 26.0 tons
	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	39 metric ton = 42.9 tons
Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load		

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	16761	Average daily truck traffi	5 %	Year	2013	Future average daily traffic	23465	Year	2033
Road classification	Other Principal Arterial (Urban) [14]	Lanes on structure	2	Approach roadway width	9.1 m = 29.9 ft				
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure	0	Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge			Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	4.06 m = 13.3 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A			Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]								

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost	477000	Roadway improvement cost	279000					
	Length of structure improvement	42 m = 137.8 ft		Total project cost	756000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2014							
	Border bridge - state				Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status

Posted for other load-capacity restriction [R]

Appraisal ratings -
structural

Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]

Condition ratings - superstructure

Fair [5]

Appraisal ratings -
roadway alignment

Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]

Condition ratings - substructure

Fair [5]

Appraisal ratings -
deck geometry

Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]

Condition ratings - deck

Satisfactory [6]

Scour

Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]

Channel and channel protection

Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]

Appraisal ratings - water adequacy

Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]

Status evaluation

Functionally obsolete [2]

Pier or abutment protection

Sufficiency rating

48.9

Culverts

Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]

Traffic safety features - railings

Traffic safety features - transitions

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends

Inspection date

December 2015 [1215]

Designated inspection frequency

24

Months

Underwater inspection

Not needed [N]

Underwater inspection date

Fracture critical inspection

Every two years [Y24]

Fracture critical inspection date

December 2015 [1215]

Other special inspection

Not needed [N]

Other special inspection date