HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inform	mation							44-57-14.02 =	074-50-20.63	
New York [36]		St. Lawrence County [089]		Massena [46030]	JCT. RTE.131 & GR	GRASSE R.		44.953894	= -74.839064	
1037700		Highway ager	cy district 75	Owner State Highway	vner State Highway Agency [01]		Maintenance responsibility S		State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 131 RTE 131			131	Toll On free road [3]		Features intersected GRASSE RIVER				
Design - Ste	teel [3]		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 1956	972.6 km = 1223. Year red	0 mi	7		
7 Girder and floorbeam system [03]		0	Other [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure F	lared				
					Historical significance	e Bridge i	s eligible for the I	NRHP. [2]		
Total length 187.1 m = 613.9 ft Length of maximum span 37.4 m = 122.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 11.6 m = 38.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 11 m = 36.1 ft										
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearanc 10.9 m = 35.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft							0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structur	ire type		Concrete Cast-	-in-Place [1]						
Type of wearing surface			Integral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]							
Deck protection			Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]							
Type of mem	nbrane/we	aring surface								
Weight Limit	its									
Bypass, detour length Method to dete			mine inventory	rating Load Factor(LF) [1]	In	nventory rating	33.6 metric ton	= 37.0 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to de			mine operating	rating Load Factor(LF) [1]	0	perating rating	56.2 metric ton	= 61.8 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					D	esign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 1348 Average daily to	ruck traffi 10 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 1887 Year 2028					
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 10.9 m = 35.8 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median					
Parallel structure designatio No parallel structure exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	idge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 3926000 Roadway improvement cost 2299000					
or replacement [c ·]	Length of structure improvement 187.1 m = 613.9 ft Total project cost 6225000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2014					
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations deter	rmined to be stable for assess	ssed or calculated scour condition. [5]				
Channel and channel protection	There are no noticeable	or noteworthy deficiencies wh	hich affect the condition of the channel. [9]				
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to present minimu	qual to present minimum criteria [6] Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 98.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpecte	d feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpecte	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail Inpecte	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends Inpecte	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date November 2	015 [1115] Designated	inspection frequency 24	4 Months				
•	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	May 2012 [0512]				
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date					