## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information																
New York [36] Seneca County [09			ty [099]		Tyre [75902]		JCT SH 31 & CAY & SEN CNL				43-01-02.87 = 43.017464	076-42-43.23 = -76.712008				
4021800			Highway agency district: 35			Ov	Owner State Highway Agency [01]			١	Maintenance	e responsib	ility	State Highway Age	ency [01]	
Route 31		RTE 3	TE 31		Toll On free road [3]		Features intersected ERIE CANAL/SENECA RIVER									
Design - Steel [3] main			Design - Steel [3 approach					oint 1949	0 km =	0.0 mi	constructed	1968				
1	1 Truss - Thru [10] 4 St		Stringer/M				Structure Flared Yes, flared [1]									
							Н			rical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this t			nis time. [4]			
Total leng	th 153 m =	502.0	O ft	Lenç	gth of maxim	um span 7	6.2 m =	250.0 ft	Deck wic	th, out-to	o-out 1	10.9 m = 35.	.8 ft Bridg	ge road	way width, curb-to-c	9.4 m = $30.8$ ft
Inventory	Route, Total	l Horiz	contal Cle	arance	9.4 m = 30	.8 ft	Curl	b or sidewalk wi	dth - left	0.3 m =	1.0 ft		Curb	or side	walk width - right	0.3 m = 1.0 ft
Deck struc	cture type			Co	ncrete Cast-	in-Place [1]	]									
Type of w	earing surfa	ce		Мо	onolithic Con	crete (conc	oncurrently placed with structural deck) [1]									
Deck prot	ection															
Type of m	Type of membrane/wearing surface															
Weight Li	imits															
7.	Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory r			rating	g Load Factor(LF) [1]				Inventory rating 32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tons							
1.7 km =	1.7 km = 1.1 mi  Method to determine operating rating			rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]				Operating rating 55.3 metric ton = 60.8 tons							
	Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]							Design	n Load M	18 / H 20 [4	.]					

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 1893 Average daily to	ruck traffi 12 % Year 2016 Future average daily traffic 1911 Year 2	038
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway v	vidth 10.4 m = 34.1 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge medi	an
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on wa	terway (bridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 6 m = 19.7 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 45.7 m = 149.9 ft	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	idge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	4.54 m = 14.9 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A	
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highw	ay or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]		
Repair and Replacement Plans		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]	
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 10397000 Roadway improvement cost 6086	9000
on replacement [e i]	Length of structure improvement 153 m = 502.0 ft Total project cost 1648	86000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018	
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent response	onsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number	

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no re	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry	is [5]						
Scour	Bridge foundations determ	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or we required or are in a stable		levices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not						
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Superior to present desira	ble criteria [9]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functioning [2	2]	Sufficiency rating 72.5						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected Inpected	feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approac	n guardrail Inpected	feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approac	n guardrail ends Inpected	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date October 201	8 [1018] Designated in	spection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date July 2015 [0715]						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	spection date October 2018 [1018]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information									43-01-03 =	076-42-43 = -
New York [36] Seneca County [099]			Tyre [75902]		JCT SH 31 & CAY & SEN CNL				43.017500	76.711944
4021800	Highway agenc	y district 35	Owner	State Highway A	Agency [01]		Maintenance	e responsibility	State Highway A	gency [01]
Route 31	RTE 3	1		Toll On fre	e road [3]	Fe	atures interse	cted CAY & SEN	CANAL	
Design - Steel [3] main  1 Truss - Thru	[10]	Design - approach  Steel  String		am or girder [02]	Kilometerpo Year built Skew angle Historical sig	0	Structure F	constructed 1968 Flared Yes, fla		this time. [4]
Total length 153 m = 502.0 ft Length of maximum span 76.2 m = 250.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 11.2 m = 36.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.6 m										
Deck structure type  Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]  Type of wearing surface  Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]  Deck protection  Type of membrane/wearing surface										
Weight Limits  Bypass, detour length  1.7 km = 1.1 mi	Method to determi	ine inventory rating		wable Stress(AS)			ntory rating rating rating	36.3 metric ton = 55.3 metric ton =		
	Bridge posting Equal to or above lega				, , , -			Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details				
Average Daily Traffic 2752 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2008 Fu	uture average daily traffic	3045 Year 202	8
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway wid	10.3 m = 33.8 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way to	raffic [2]	Bridge median	
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]			
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control N	lavigation control on water	rway (bridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 6 m = 19.7 ft	Navigation horizon	tal clearance 45.7 m = 149.9	) ft	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge	Minimum vertical clearand	ce over bridge roadway	4.54 m = 14.9 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]			
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited	Minimum lateral undercleara	ance on left 0 = N/A	
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical und	derclearance reference featur	re Feature not a highway	y or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]				
Repair and Replacement Plans				
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by cont	ract [1]		
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 4249000	Roadway impro	ovement cost 247300	00
·	Length of structure improvement	153 m = 502.0 ft Tota	al project cost 672200	00
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2009		
	Border bridge - state	Borde	er bridge - percent respons	sibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for o	ther load-capacity restrictio	n [R] Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to pres						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimu	um tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]	.]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		inks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not quired or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequate	Equal to present m	inimum criteria [6]	Sta	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection	In place and function	oning [2]	Su	Sufficiency rating 79.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns Inp	pected feature meets currently acco							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inp	pected feature meets currently acco							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inp	pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date October 200	8 [1008] Design	ated inspection frequency 24	Mont	hs					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ection date	October 2009 [1009]					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	nspection date	October 2008 [1008]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						