

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

New York [36]	Tompkins County [109]	Dryden [20962]	1.6 MI NE JCT RTS 366 +13	42-29-43 = 42.495278	076-22-17 = - 76.371389
1046780	Highway agency district	36	Owner	State Highway Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility
Route	366		RTE 366	Toll	On free road [3]
Design - main	Steel [3]	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint	1285.4 km = 796.9 mi
1	Truss - Thru [10]	0	Other [00]	Year built	1932
				Year reconstructed	1995
				Skew angle	0
				Structure Flared	
				Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]
Total length	37.7 m = 123.7 ft	Length of maximum span	36.5 m = 119.8 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	8 m = 26.2 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance	7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left	1.5 m = 4.9 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Integral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]				
Deck protection	Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]				
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	35.4 metric ton = 38.9 tons
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	54.4 metric ton = 59.8 tons
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load	MS 18 / HS 20 [5]

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	3859	Average daily truck traffi	10	%	Year	2007	Future average daily traffic	4270	Year	2027
Road classification	Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	9.1 m = 29.9 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge			Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	99.9 = Unlimited					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]		
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost	750000	Roadway improvement cost	448000
	Length of structure improvement	37.7 m = 123.7 ft	Total project cost	1198000
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2009		
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Posted for other load-capacity restriction [R]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	74.3
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - transitions			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			
Inspection date	September 2009 [0909]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date	September 2009 [0909]
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	