

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

New York [36]	Hamilton County [041]	Wells [79059]	JCT 8+30+SACANDAGA R	43-26-43 = 43.445278	074-15-05 = - 74.251389
1004850	Highway agency district 22	Owner State Highway Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 8	RTE 8	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected	SACANDAGA RIVER	
Design - main Steel [3]	Design - approach	Kilometerpoint 6029.4 km = 3738.2 mi	Year built 1934	Year reconstructed 1985	
4	Girder and floorbeam system [03]	0	Other [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared
			Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 87.1 m = 285.8 ft	Length of maximum span 27.4 m = 89.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 10.9 m = 35.8 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	10.3 m = 33.8 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 10.3 m = 33.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Integral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]				
Deck protection	Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]				
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length 8.6 km = 5.3 mi	Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	30.8 metric ton = 33.9 tons
	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	52.6 metric ton = 57.9 tons
Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load	MS 18 / HS 20 [5]	

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	1460	Average daily truck traffi	8	%	Year	2008	Future average daily traffic	1659	Year	2028
Road classification	Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	10.3 m = 33.8 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge						Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	99.9 = Unlimited					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost	798000	Roadway improvement cost	475000						
	Length of structure improvement	87.1 m = 285.8 ft		Total project cost	1273000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2009								
	Border bridge - state					Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number									

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]		

Scour

Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]

Channel and channel protection

Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]

Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation	
------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-------------------	--

Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	91.9
-----------------------------	--	--------------------	------

Culverts

Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]

Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]
------------------------------------	---

Traffic safety features - transitions	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]
---------------------------------------	---

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]
--	---

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]
---	---

Inspection date	July 2009 [0709]	Designated inspection frequency	24	Months
-----------------	------------------	---------------------------------	----	--------

Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
-----------------------	----------------	----------------------------	--

Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date	July 2009 [0709]
------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------

Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	
--------------------------	----------------	-------------------------------	--