HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-41-24.53 =	075-29-38.19
New York [36]	St. Lawrence Count	ty [089]	Ogdensburg [54485]	IN OGDENSBURG			44.690147	= -75.493942
2221890 Highway agency district 75		Owner City or Municipa	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		onsibility	City or Municipal Hi	ghway Agency [04]	
Route 0 LAFAYETTE SPRG ST			Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected	OSWEGATO	HIE RIVER	
Design - Concrete c	ontinuous [2]	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 99.8 Year built 1916	3 km = 61.9 mi Year reconstr	ructed 1994		
5 Arch - Deck [11]		0 Othe	er [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared			
				Historical significance	Bridge is elig	ible for the NI	RHP. [2]	
Total length 181.9 m = 596.8 ft Length of maximum span 41.7 m = 136.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13.6 m = 44.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearanc 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.8 m = 5.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.8 m = 5.9 ft						1.8 m = 5.9 ft		
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]						
Type of wearing surface		Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection		Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Other [9]		Other [9]						
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine invent		mine inventory ratin	g No rating analysis or	r evaluation perfor Inve	entory rating 29.4	4 metric ton =	32.3 tons	
0.1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating			g No rating analysis or	evaluation perfor Ope	erating rating 92.7	7 metric ton =	102.0 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Des	sign Load MS 18+N	Mod / HS 20+	Mod [6]	

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 3388 Average daily tra	uck traffi 2 % Year 2015 Futu	re average daily traffic 4743 Y	ear 2035					
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roa	ndway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traf	affic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designatio No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans			_					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract	ct [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 20181000	Roadway improvement cost	1894000					
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 18	1.9 m = 596.8 ft Total project cost	22075000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2	014						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - perce	nt responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determin required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum c	riteria [6]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 67.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected fea	spected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date October 201	4 [1014] Designated insp	pection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	June 2013 [0613]					
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						