HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation														43-07-02.1	0 –	076-14-39.85
New York [36]		Onondaga County [067]					Geddes [28519] .1 MI N JCT I			T 190+O	90+ONON LAKE			43-07-02.1		= -76.244403	
4433080			Highway agency district: 33				Owner State Highway Agency [01]			Mai	Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]						
Route 0				LONG I	ONG BRANCH ROAD			Toll On free road [3]			Features intersected ONON LAKE OUTLET, ONON P						
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru [10]		u [10]			Design - approach Steel [3] Girder and fl		•	d floorbeam system [03]			Structure Flared						
Total leng	75.3 m				th of maxim			= 119.8 ft rb or sidewalk wi		_	o-out 8.5		9 ft E	Bridge roa	not determinable dway width, curb ewalk width - righ	-to-cu	rs time. [4] rb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft 1.3 m = 4.3 ft
Deck stru			ontal Olce	Wo	ood or Timbe uminous [6]		Ou	TD OF SIGEWAIK WI	util lott	1.0 III -	- 4.0 II				swant water figi		1.5 111 – 4.5 11
Deck prot	ection																
Type of m	nembrane/we	earing	surface	Oth	ner [9]												
Weight Li Bypass, (0.3 km =	detour lengtl	M		determin	ne inventory ne operating	Ü		d Factor(LF) [1] d Factor(LF) [1]			Inventory Operating Design Lo	y rating		etric ton = netric ton	7.0 tons = 12.0 tons		

Functional Details											
Average Daily Traffic 2269 Average daily tr	uck traffi 1 % Year 2015 Future average daily traffic 2291 Year 2038										
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.6 m = 15.1 ft										
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median										
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]											
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]										
Navigation vertical clearance 999.9 m = 3280.7 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 35 m = 114.8 ft											
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 4.59 m = 15.1 ft											
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A											
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]											
Repair and Replacement Plans											
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]										
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 5003000 Roadway improvement cost 2930000										
	Length of structure improvement 75.2 m = 246.7 ft Total project cost 7933000										
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018										
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state										
	Border bridge - structure number										

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for	oad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intol	olerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	e Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimu	num tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into	olerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundatio	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]								
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Superior to pres	ent desirable criteria [9]	Sta	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]						
Pier or abutment protection	In place and fun	ctioning [2]	Su	Sufficiency rating 19.3						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culve	ert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition	ons									
Traffic safety features - approach	ch guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards	ls. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	ch guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Inspection date September	2018 [0918] Des	gnated inspection frequency 12	Mont	nths						
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date	August 2015 [0815]						
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	September 2018 [0918]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							