HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-03-53.55 =	077-13-12.87		
New York [36] Wayne County [117]			Palmyra [56187]	JCT OF RTE 21 + BA	ARGE C	43.064875	= -77.220242			
4016480 Highway age		ency district: 47	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]			
Route 21		RT	E 21	Toll On fr	ree road [3]	Features intersected Erie Can	nal Heritage Trai			
Design - Steel [3]	Design - approach			teel [3]	Kilometerpoint 39 Year built 1961	P1 km = 242.4 mi Year reconstructed N	I/A [0000]			
1 Truss -	Truss - Thru [10] 2 String			tringer/Multi-beam or girder [02		Structure Flared Yes, flared [1]				
					Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible for	or the NRHP. [5]			
Total length 100.	5 m = 33	30.1 ft L	ength of maximun	n span 70.1 m = 230.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	out 9.4 m = 30.8 ft Bridge r	oadway width, curb-to-c	urb 8.5 m = 27.9 ft		
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 8.5 m = 27.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m =					width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	ft Curb or s	sidewalk width - right	2.4 m = 7.9 ft		
Deck structure type	:		Concrete Cast-in-	-Place [1]						
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]										
Deck protection Other Coated Re			Other Coated Re	inforcing [3]						
Type of membrane	/wearing	g surface								
Weight Limits										
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ra			rmine inventory ra	ting Load Factor(LF) [1]	In	Inventory rating 23.6 metric ton = 26.0 tons				
0.3 km = 0.2 mi		Method to dete	rmine operating ra	ting Load Factor(LF) [1]	O	perating rating 39 metric ton	n = 42.9 tons			
	E	Bridge posting	Equal to or abo	ve legal loads [5]	De	esign Load M 18 / H 20 [4]				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 4715 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 8 % Year 2018 Future average daily traffic 4762 Year 2038								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 11.9 m = 39.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 22.8 m = 74.8 ft									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.57 m = 15.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 5852000 Roadway improvement cost 3427000								
or replacement. [6 1]	Length of structure improvement 100.5 m = 329.7 ft Total project cost 9280000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficience	у									
Structure status Poste	d for other lo	oad-capacity restrict		Appraisal ratings - tructural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - supers	ructure Sati	sfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure Satis		tisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck Fair		[5]	1	deck geometry						
Scour		Bridge foundation	ns determined to	be stable for the asse	essed or cal	culated scour condition	า. [8]			
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate kin place as is [5]			eing left	Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection		Navigation protection not required [1]				Sufficiency rating	65.5			
		cture is not a culve	rt. [N]							
Traffic safety features - ra	· ·									
Traffic safety features - tr Traffic safety features - a		rdrail I	Inpected feature	meets currently accep	ntable stand	ards. [1]				
			·	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
	nber 2018 [1		gnated inspectio	<u> </u>		Months				
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]			-	Underwater inspec	tion date					
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24]				Fracture critical ins	pection date	November 2018	3 [1118]			
Other special inspection	eeded [N]		Other special inspe	ation data						