## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	rmation														42-31-27.44 =	= 075-15-20.77
New York [36]		Otsego County [077]			Мо	Morris [48494]			2 MI SOUTH OF MORRIS			42-51-27.44	= -75.255769			
2227750		Highway agency district: 94			0	wner	vner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility					Town or Townsh	ip Highway Agency [03]			
Route 0 PEET R			ROAD			Toll	Image: Toll On free road [3]         Features intersected         BUTTERNU				T CREEK					
Design - mainAluminum, Wrought Iron or Cast Iron [9]1Truss - Thru [10]		Design - approach 0	Other [00]	her [00]			Kilometer Year built Skew ang Historical	1890 le 0	890   Year reconstructed   1968     0   Structure Flared							
Total length       19.2 m = 63.0 ft       Length of maximum span       18.8 m = 61.7 ft       Deck width, out-to-out       4.3 m = 14.1 ft       Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb       3.7 m = 12.1 ft									-curb 3.7 m = 12.1 ft							
							0 m = 0.0 ft									
Deck structure type     Wood or Timber [8]       Type of wearing surface     Wood or Timber [7]												]				
Deck protection Type of membrane/wearing surface																
Weight Lin	nits															
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rat			rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]				Inventor	ventory rating 12.7 metric ton = 14.0 tons							
0.8 km = 0.5 mi Method to determine operating			ne operating	rating	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]					Operatir	ng rating	20.9 m	etric ton =	23.0 tons		
Bridge posting										Design l	Load					

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 30 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 7 % Year 2017 Future average daily traffic 30 Year 2038	
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure1Approach roadway width2.7 m = 8.9 ft	
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median	
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure   0   Navigation control	
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	idge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft	
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A	
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]		
Repair and Replacement Plans		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]	
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost   652000   Roadway improvement cost   382000	
	Length of structure improvement19.2 m = 63.0 ftTotal project cost1033000	
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018	
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number	

Inspection and Sufficiency											
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]								
Condition ratings - superstructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment									
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]								
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry									
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]									
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protect debris are in the channel. [4]	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]									
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	cy Meets minimum tolerable lim	its to be left in place as is	[4] Stat	us evaluation Structurally deficient [1]							
Pier or abutment protection			Suff	iciency rating 23.4							
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings											
Traffic safety features - transition	15										
Traffic safety features - approact	n guardrail Inpected feat	ture meets currently acce									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends										
Inspection date July 2018 [0718] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months											
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date	October 2016 [1016]							
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	July 2018 [0718]							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date								