HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					41-12-43.21 =	073-52-08.94
New York [36]	Westchester County [119]	Cortlandt [18410]	AT CROTON ON HUD:	SON	41.212003	= -73.869150
3348560	Highway agency district: 87	Owner County Highway	/ Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 0	QUAKER BRIDGE RD	Toll On fre	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersected CROTON	RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thr	Design - approach ru [10] O Other	· [00]	Kilometerpoint 135. Year built 1930 Skew angle 0	.2 km = 83.8 mi Year reconstructed 19 Structure Flared	94	
			Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the	NRHP. [2]	
Total length 30.8 m	= 101.1 ft Length of maximum sp	an 29.8 m = 97.8 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	t 6.2 m = 20.3 ft Bridge ro	adway width, curb-to-ci	4.7 m = 15.4 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Curb			idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	Curb or sign	dewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Open Grating [3]					
Type of wearing surface Other [9]						
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/we	earing surface					
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating 12.7 metric tor	n = 14.0 tons	
0.4 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating Loa		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	erating rating 21.8 metric tor	n = 24.0 tons	
	Bridge posting		Desi	ign Load		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 0 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 3 % Year 2018 Future average daily traffic 0 Year					
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.8 m = 19.0 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.78 m = 12.4 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 1942000 Roadway improvement cost 1137000					
or replacement to it	Length of structure improvement 30.1 m = 98.8 ft Total project cost 3080000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018					
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted	or load [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - substructi	re Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge is sc	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]					
Channel and channel protec		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]					
Appraisal ratings - water ade	Somewhat in place as	better than minimum adequacy to tolerate is [5]	e being left Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 16.6				
Culverts Not applicable. L	sed if structure is not a	culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railing	gs	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - tran	itions						
Traffic safety features - app	oach guardrail						
Traffic safety features - app	oach guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date Septem	oer 2018 [0918]	Designated inspection frequency 12	2 Months				
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	pection date				
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical in					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date				