HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-56-15.78 =	078-42-39.34
New York [36]	Erie County [029]		Cheektowaga [15011]	1.1 MI E OF BUF INT	ARPRT		42.937717	= -78.710928
2212970	Highway ager	ncy district: 53	Owner Town or Towns	Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility		responsibility	Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]
Route 0 REIN ROAD			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersect	ted ELLICOTT	CREEK	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thi	ru [10]	Design - approach 0 Oth	ner [00]	Year built 1933		onstructed 2014	4	
				Skew angle 0 Historical significance		not eligible for t		
Total length $25.9 \text{ m} = 85.0 \text{ ft}$ Length of maximum span $25.2 \text{ m} = 82.7 \text{ ft}$ Deck width, out-to-out $7.8 \text{ m} = 25.6 \text{ ft}$ Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb $7.3 \text{ m} = 24.0 \text{ ft}$								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right								
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete		rete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Re		Reinforcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		ng Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inv	entory rating	24.5 metric ton	= 27.0 tons		
0.1 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating		ng Load Factor(LF) [1]	Op	Operating rating 40.8 metric ton = 44.9 tons				
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Des	sign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 1171 Average daily to	ruck traffi 4 % Year 2015 Future average daily traffic 1182 Year 2038							
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.6 m = 21.7 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 1901000 Roadway improvement cost 1113000							
or replacement. [54]	Length of structure improvement 25.9 m = 85.0 ft Total project cost 3014000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Good [7]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations de	termined to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated scour condition. [5]					
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than in place as is [5]	n minimum adequacy to tolerate b	being left Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 66.7					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail		Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inped	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date June 2017 [0	Designate	ed inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	Spection date June 2017 [0617]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					