## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-49-07.26 =	078-26-44.35
New York [36]	Wyoming County [121]		Bennington [05936]	<b>IDALE</b>		42.818683	= -78.445653	
3319610 Highway agency district: 46			Owner County Highway	Maintenance	tenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0	SCHOE	LLKOPF ROAD	Toll On fre	Features intersected CAYUGA CREEK				
Design - Steel [3] main  Truss - The		Design - approach  Other	[00]	Year built 1933		constructed 2000	)	
				Skew angle 0 Historical significance		al significance is r	not determinable at th	
Total length 31.7 m	= 104.0 ft Lengt	h of maximum sp	an 30.1 m = 98.8 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	out 4.9 m = 16.1	ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 4.4 m = 14.4 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	4.4 m = 14.4 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft
Deck structure type	Woo	od or Timber [8]						
Type of wearing surfa	ce Woo	od or Timber [7]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to determine	e inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	In	ventory rating	20 metric ton = 2	22.0 tons	
0.9 km = 0.6 mi	Method to determine	e operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ol	perating rating	32.7 metric ton :	= 36.0 tons	
	Bridge posting			De	esign Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 56 Average daily to	ruck traffi 6 % Year 2016 Future average daily traffic 56 Year 2038								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 7 m = 23.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  2.99 m = 9.8 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$ Minimum lateral underclearance on left $0 = N/A$									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Description I Description									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 1286000 Roadway improvement cost 753000								
	Length of structure improvement 31.6 m = 103.7 ft Total project cost 2040000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted	or load [P]			ppraisal ratings - ructural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]  Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstru	ondition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]			ppraisal ratings - adway alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure Good [7]		7]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]		de	eck geometry					
Scour	Ві	ridge foundation	ns determined to	be stable for the asse	essed or cald	ulated scour conditio	n. [8]		
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Setter than prese	han present minimum criteria [7]			Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection						Sufficiency rating	36.7		
Culverts Not applicable.	Jsed if structur	re is not a culve	rt. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings Inpected fe			Inpected feature i	reature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - tran	nsitions								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Input			npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date October 2018 [1018] Design			gnated inspectior	n frequency 12	N	lonths			
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		ded [N]		Underwater inspec	ction date	tion date			
		year [Y12]		Fracture critical ins	spection date	October 2018 [	1018]		
Other special inspection Not ne		ded [N]		Other special inspe	ection date				