## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Infor	rmation										42-13-02 =	076-11-36 = -
New York [36] Tioga County [107]			7]	Newark Valley [49902]			.7 MI SW OF NEWARK VALLEY				76.193333	
2219100			Highway agency district 65			Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility			Town or Townsh	p Highway Agency [03]		
Route 0 SIL			LK STREET		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected E BR OWE			/EGO CREEK				
Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru [10]			Design - approach	Other [00]		Year built Skew angl	ilometerpoint  0 km = 0.0 mi  ear built  1888					
Total length 21 m = 68.9 ft Length of maximum span 20.4 m = 66.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.2 m = 13.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.8 m = 12.5 ft									O m = 0.0 ft			
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.8 m = 12.5 ft  Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]					Curb of Sidewark	width - left	0  m = 0.0	П	Curb or S	idewalk width - right	0 III = 0.0 II	
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]				o]								
Deck protection												
Type of me	embrane/we	earing s	urface									
Weight Lin	nits											
J.	Bypass, detour length  0.1 km = 0.1 mi   Method to d			ermine invento	ry rating	No rating analysis performed [5]		In	ventory rating	0 metric ton =	= 0.0 tons	
U. I KIII = U	J. I IIII	Method to determine operating rating			ig rating	No rating analysis performed [5]		0	perating rating	0 metric ton =	= 0.0 tons	
		Brio	dge postinç	30.0 - 39.9	9 % below [1	]		D	esign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 64 Average daily true	ck traffi 6 % Year 1995 Future average daily traffic 84 Year 2015							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.4 m = 17.7 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 169000 Roadway improvement cost 96000							
	Length of structure improvement 21 m = 68.9 ft Total project cost 265000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2006							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Bridge closed	d to all traffic [K]	Appraisal ratings - structural							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum crite	eria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being eroochannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Meets minimum tolerable lim	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]  Status evaluation  Structurally deficient [1]							
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating 21							
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	NS								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected fea	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date May 2006 [0506] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]		cture critical inspection date May 2006 [0506]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						