The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-12-24 =	078-32-45 = -
New York [36] Niagara County [063]			Royalton [64034] 4 MI W JCT SH271 & CANAL			43.206667	78.545833	
4454060 Highway agency district: 54			Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ag	ency [01]	
Route 0	SLAYTO	N SETTMT RD	Toll On fr	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected ERIE CANA			L, SOUTH TRAIL,	
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Truss - Thru [10] 2 Slab [rete [1] Kilometerpoint Year built [01] Skew angle 0		0 km = 0.0 mi Year reconstructed 1993 Structure Flared		3		
				Historical significance	e Historic	al significance is r	not determinable at t	his time. [4]
Total length 58.5 m = 191.9 ft Length of maximum span 45.1 m = 148.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.3 m = 14.1 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.3 m = 14.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft								
Deck structure type Not applicable [N]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection Not applicable (appl		applicable (applie	oplies only to structures with no deck) [N]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface Other [9]								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating			No rating analysis p	performed [5]	nventory rating	32.6 metric ton =	= 35.9 tons	
			No rating analysis p	performed [5]	perating rating	75.6 metric ton =	= 83.2 tons	
Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % below [4]				Г	esign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 715 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2001 Future average daily traffic 894 Year 2021								
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 7 m = 23.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearance 5.1 m = 16.7 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 22.8 m = 74.8 ft									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.22 m = 13.8 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Danair and Danlagement Dlane									
Repair and Replacement Plans	West days by West to be days by contract [4]								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 2087000 Roadway improvement cost 1396000								
	Length of structure improvement 58.5 m = 191.9 ft Total project cost 3483000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2008								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - superstructure Good [7]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	Equal to present i	ninimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protec	tion not required [1]	Sufficiency rating 69.9						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings	Ir	ected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach		Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date November 2008 [1108] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	pection date						
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical in	·						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date						