HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					43-07-30 =	078-22-12 = -
New York [36]	Genesee County [037]	Alabama [00474]	2.3 MI NE ALABAMA		43.125000	78.370000
3315020	Highway agency district: 41	Owner County Highwa	y Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway A	Agency [02]
Route 0	SOUR SPRINGS ROAD	Toll On fro	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected OAK ORC	HARD CREEK	
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru	Design - approach [10] Other	er [00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1885 Skew angle 20	Year reconstructed N/A Structure Flared		
			Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible for		
Total length 20.1 m = 65.9 ft Length of maximum span 18 m = 59.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.4 m = 14.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.4 m = 14.4 ft						
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance 4.3 m = 14.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	Curb or sic	dewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Wood or Timber [8]					
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]						
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface					
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Inve	entory rating 6.3 metric ton	= 6.9 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to determine operating ratin	g	Ope	erating rating 11.7 metric ton	n = 12.9 tons	
	Bridge posting 20.0 - 29.9 % be	ow [2]	Desi	ign Load		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 30 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 1991 Future average daily traff	fic 370 Year 2010					
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1	Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contro	lo					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 376000 Roadway	y improvement cost 43000					
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 38.4 m = 126.0 ft	Total project cost 656000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency					
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]		
Condition ratings - superstructure Serious [3]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]		
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]		
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry			
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation h	has not been made. [6]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have m		rol devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.		
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than minim in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 25		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]				
Traffic safety features - railings					
Traffic safety features - transitions Not applicable		ole or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends				
Inspection date August 1991	[0891] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months		
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ction date		
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date August 1991 [0891]		
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date		