The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation											43-12-08 =	075-42-43 = -
New York [36] Oneida County [065]			Ve	Verona [77178] 1.			I.1 MI E SH 13 & BG CANAL				75.711944		
4426090 High			vay agency district 26			Owner State Highway Agency [01]				Maintenan	e responsibility State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 0 COVE ROAD					Toll On free road [3] Features intersected ERIE BARC					GE CANAL			
Design - main  Steel [3] Design - approach  Truss - Thru [10]  Design - 4			approach	Concrete [1]  Kilometerpoint					Flared		this time [4]		
Historical significance   Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]  Total length   92.3 m = 302.8 ft   Length of maximum span   56 m = 183.7 ft   Deck width, out-to-out   5.2 m = 17.1 ft   Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb   4.6 m = 15.1 ft   Curb or sidewalk width - left   0 m = 0.0 ft   Deck structure type   Not applicable [N]									curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft				
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (c			crete (con	(concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]									
Deck protection Not applicab			nt applicable	e (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]									
Type of m	embrane/we	earing surface											
Weight Li	mits												
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inve			ne inventory	rating Load Factor(LF) [1]			Ir		nventory rating	16.3 metric ton = 17.9 tons			
0.6 km = 0.4 mi  Method to determine operating ratin			rating	Load	factor(LF) [1]	0		Operating rating	24.5 metric ton = 27.0 tons				
Bridge posting 20.0 - 29.9 % below [2]				2]				Design Load					

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 965 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 6 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 1197 Year 2029								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.5 m = 14.8 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 13.4 m = 44.0 ft									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  4.11 m = 13.5 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 2083000 Roadway improvement cost 1373000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 92.3 m = 302.8 ft Total project cost 3456000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009								
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minim	ets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into	igh priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of I Banks and/or channel have m	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	Equal to present minimum cri	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not req	Navigation protection not required [1]			ncy rating 31.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	S									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends									
Inspection date September 2009 [0909] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months										
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec		September 200	8 [0908]					
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins		September 200	9 [0909]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							