HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inf	ormation										42-41-00 =	074-57-30 = -
New York [36]		Otsego County [077]			Otsego	Otsego [55695] 1.0 MI S OF TOD			DDSVILLE		42.683333	74.958333
2227960			Highway ager	lighway agency district: 94		Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance			Maintenance	responsibility	Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]
Route 0		LOW	ER TODDSVIL	LE	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Fea	atures intersect	ted OAKS CRE	EK		
Design - main	Aluminum, Iron [9] Truss - Thr		ght Iron or Cas	approach	Other [00]		Kilometerp Year built Skew angle Historical s	#Num! e 0	Structure Fla	onstructed 1979 ared not eligible for t		
Total leng	yth 22.6 m	= 74.2	! ft L€	ngth of maximu	m span 21.9 r	n = 71.9 ft	<u></u>		4.3 m = 14.1 f			curb 4.2 m = 13.8 ft
Inventory	Route, Tota	l Horiz	contal Clearanc	e 4.2 m = 13.8	3 ft (Curb or sidewalk w	ridth - left	0 m = 0.0 ft		Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck stru	cture type			Wood or Timber	[8]							
Type of w	earing surfa	ce		Nood or Timber	· [7]							
Deck prot	ection											
Type of m	nembrane/we	earing	surface									
Weight L	imits											
Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine in		nine inventory rating				Inve	Inventory rating 0 metric ton = 0.0 tons					
U.3 KM =	U.Z MI	N	lethod to deter	nine operating i	rating			Opei	rating rating	3.6 metric ton =	4.0 tons	
		В	ridge posting	20.0 - 29.9 %	below [2]			Desi	gn Load			

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 65 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 1991 Future average daily traffic 801 Year 2010
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.3 m = 14.1 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.02 m = 9.9 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 355000 Roadway improvement cost 41000
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 40.8 m = 133.9 ft Total project cost 619000
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for Io	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Scour calculation/ev	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better the in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]							
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 15.2						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert.	[N]							
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	No No	t applicable or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inp	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date July 1991 [07	791] Design	ated inspection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date July 1991 [0791]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						