HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information | | | | | | | 44-58-43.40 = | 074-43-58.87 |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| New York [36] St. Lawrence County [089] | | Massena [46030] 3.5 MI W OF HOGANSBURG | | | 44.978722 | = -74.733019 | | |
| 3341330 Highway agency district 75 | | | Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility | | County Highway A | gency [02] | | |
| Route 0 RSVELTOWN ACC RD | | | Toll On free road [3] Features intersected RAQUETT | | E RIVER | | | |
| Design - Steel [3] | | Design - Steel approach | [3] | ' | 6 km = 40.9 mi | | | |
| | u [10] | | ger/Multi-beam or girder [02] | Year built 1934 | Year rec | constructed 196 | 5 | |
| 2 Truss - Thru [10] 2 Stri | | Z | germant-beam of girder [02] | Skew angle 0 | Structure F | lared | | |
| | | | | Historical significance | ce Historica | al significance is | not determinable at th | nis time. [4] |
| Total length 116.7 m = 382.9 ft Length of maximum span 45.7 m = 149.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.2 m = 20.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.8 m = 19.0 ft | | | | | | | | |
| Inventory Route, Tota | l Horizontal Clearanc | 5.7 m = 18.7 ft | Curb or sidewalk w | idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$ | 0 ft | Curb or sid | ewalk width - right | 0 m = 0.0 ft |
| Deck structure type | C | pen Grating [3] | | | | | | |
| Type of wearing surface Other [9] | | | | | | | | |
| Deck protection | | | | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Weight Limits | | | | | | | | |
| Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating | | | Load Factor(LF) [1] | | nventory rating | 10.9 metric ton = 12.0 tons | | |
| 0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating | | | Load Factor(LF) [1] | | Operating rating | 18.1 metric ton = 19.9 tons | | |
| | Bridge posting | 30.0 - 39.9 % belo | ow [1] | С | Design Load | | | |

| Functional Details | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 205 Average daily t | ruck traffi 3 % Year 2012 Future averaç | ge daily traffic 287 | Year 2032 | | | | |
| Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08] | Lanes on structure 1 | Approach | roadway width 5.4 m = 17.7 ft | | | | |
| Type of service on bridge Highway [1] | Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1] | Bi | ridge median | | | | |
| Parallel structure designatio No parallel structure exists. [N] | | | | | | | |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] | Lanes under structure 0 Navig | ation control | | | | | |
| Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A | | | | | | | |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.09 m = 10.1 ft | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N] | | | | | | | |
| Danair and Danissement Diane | | | | | | | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans Type of work to be performed Work done by Work to be done by contract [1] | | | | | | | |
| Bridge deck replacement with only incidental | | D 1 1 1 1 1 | 4174000 | | | | |
| widening. [37] | Bridge improvement cost 2005000 | Roadway improvement cost | | | | | |
| | Length of structure improvement 116.7 m = 3 | 82.9 ft Total project cos | 3179000 | | | | |
| | Year of improvement cost estimate 2014 | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - state | Border bridge - pe | rcent responsibility of other state | | | | |
| | Border bridge - structure number | | | | | | |

| Inspection and Sufficiency | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status Bridge close | d to all traffic [K] | Appraisal ratings - structural | Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] | | | | |
| Condition ratings - superstructur | Poor [4] | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | Equal to present minimum criteria [6] | | | | |
| Condition ratings - substructure | Fair [5] | Appraisal ratings - | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - deck | Fair [5] | deck geometry | | | | | |
| Scour | Bridge foundations determine required. [4] | Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4] | | | | | |
| Channel and channel protection | | Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8] | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequad | Equal to present minimum cri | iteria [6] | Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1] | | | | |
| Pier or abutment protection | | | Sufficiency rating 35.9 | | | | |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used | if structure is not a culvert. [N] | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transitions | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach | h guardrail ends | | | | | | |
| Inspection date November 2 | | | Months | | | | |
| ' | Not needed [N] | Underwater inspec | | | | | |
| | Every year [Y12] | Fracture critical ins | | | | | |
| Other special inspection | Not needed [N] | eded [N] Other special inspection date | | | | | |