HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						41-19-39.18 =	073-59-15.99
New York [36]	Orange County [071]		Highlands [34550]	0.6 MI N JCT RTS 9W	+6	41.327550	= -73.987775
1007160	Highway agency	y district: 83	Owner State Highway A	Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route #Num!	RTE 9	W	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected OLD MINE F	ROAD, DOCK BROO	
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - Conc approach	crete [1]	Kilometerpoint 114 Year built 1932	.2 km = 70.8 mi Year reconstructed 1985		
3 Arch - Decl	[11]	10 Slab	[01]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared	,	
				Historical significance	Historical significance is r	not determinable at th	is time. [4]
Total length 151.1 m	= 495.8 ft Leng	gth of maximum sp	oan 48.7 m = 159.8 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	17 m = 55.8 ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-cu	14.1 m = 46.3 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	Horizontal Clearance	13.4 m = 44.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 1.1 m = 3.6	oft Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.1 m = 3.6 ft
Deck structure type	Сс	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ace [1]				
Type of wearing surfa	ce Int	egral Concrete (se	eparate non-modified layer o	f concrete added to struc	ctural deck) [2]		
Deck protection	Ep	oxy Coated Reinf	orcing [1]				
Type of membrane/we	aring surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour lengtl	Method to determi	ne inventory rating	No rating analysis or	evaluation perfor Inve	entory rating 32.7 metric ton =	= 36.0 tons	
2 km = 1.2 mi	Method to determi	ne operating rating	No rating analysis or	evaluation perfor Ope	erating rating 74.9 metric ton =	= 82.4 tons	
	Bridge posting I	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]	Des	sign Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 18408 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 25771 Year 2029								
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 13.4 m = 44.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway [6]	Lanes under structure 2 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 2.2 m = 7.2 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 6.09 m = 20.0 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 2004000 Roadway improvement cost 1174000								
o replacement to it	Length of structure improvement 151.1 m = 495.8 ft Total project cost 3178000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2014								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Suffici	iency								
Structure status O	pen, no rest	riction [A]	[A] Appraisal ratings - structural		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - sup	erstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - sub	Condition ratings - substructure Satis		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - dec	ondition ratings - deck Satis		deck geometry						
Scour		Bridge founda	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel p	protection		tected or well vegetated. River control of e in a stable condition. [8]	devices such as	s spur dikes and eml	bankment protection are n	ot		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Equal to pres	ent desirable criteria [8]		Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection					Sufficiency rating	62			
Culverts Not applica	ıble. Used if	structure is not a cu	ilvert. [N]	,					
Traffic safety features - railings Inpected features			Inpected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected feat			Inpected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features	s - approach	guardrail ends							
Inspection date De	ecember 20	15 [1215] D	esignated inspection frequency 24	Mo	onths				
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspe	Underwater inspection date						
'		Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	•					
Other special inspect	Other special inspection Not no		Other special insp	pection date					