HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information										41-19-25.16 =	073-59-23.23
New York [36] Orange County [071]			Highland	Highlands [34550] .3 MI N JCT US 9W & US 6			41.323656	= -73.989786			
1007150 Highway agency district: 83			Owner	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Age	ncy [01]			
Route #Num! RTE 9W				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected POPOLOF				EN CREEK			
Design - Steel [3] main	1 [00]		Design - approach	[0.0]		Kilometerpo Year built	59.5 1937	km = 36.9 mi Year red	constructed 201	7	
3 Truss - Deck [09] 0		0	Other [00]		Skew angle	0	Structure F	lared			
						Historical si	gnificance	Historica	al significance is	not determinable at th	is time. [4]
Total length 185.3 m = 608.0 ft Length of maximum span 99.4 m = 326.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 16.5 m = 54.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 13.1 m = 43.0 ft											
Inventory Route, Tota	al Horizonta	al Clearance	13.1 m = 43.	0 ft Cı	urb or sidewalk wi	idth - left	1.6 m = 5.2	ft	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	1.6 m = 5.2 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]											
Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (s			e (separate non	(separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]							
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Rein			einforcing [1]	forcing [1]							
Type of membrane/w	earing surf	face									
Weight Limits											
Bypass, detour length Method to determine invent			ne inventory ra	ating Load Factor(LF) [1]			Inve	entory rating	32.7 metric ton	= 36.0 tons	
2 km = 1.2 mi Method to determine operating ratin			ating Loa	Load Factor(LF) [1]			erating rating	54.4 metric ton	= 59.8 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					Des	ign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]				

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 17965 Average daily to	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 18144 Year 2038
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 13.1 m = 43.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 22042000 Roadway improvement cost 12908000
o replacement to i	Length of structure improvement 185.3 m = 608.0 ft Total project cost 34950000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations of	letermined to be stable for the asso	essed or calculated scour condition. [8]					
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected required or are in a s	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to present de	Equal to present desirable criteria [8] Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 63					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert.	N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inp	ected feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inp	Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date November 2	017 [1117] Designa	ited inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					