## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-00-33.08 =	076-43-45.38
New York [36]	Chemung County [015	5]	Wellsburg [79081]	0.5 MI S JCT RTS 36	7 +427		42.009189	= -76.729272
1046800	Highway agency	district: 62	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance	responsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 367	RTE 36	57	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersect	ted BENTLEY	CREEK	
Design - Steel [3]		Design -		Kilometerpoint 85	.3 km = 52.9 mi			
main	. [10]	approach	[00]	Year built 1940	Year rec	onstructed 199	9	
1 Truss - Thi	u [10]	0 Other	r [00]	Skew angle 27	Structure Flared			
				Historical significance	e Bridge is	not eligible for	the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 44.2 m	= 145.0 ft Leng	yth of maximum sp	oan 42.6 m = 139.8 ft	Deck width, out-to-c	out 8 m = 26.2 ft	Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	rb 7.4 m = 24.3 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	ft	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	1.7 m = 5.6 ft
Deck structure type	Oth	her [9]						
Type of wearing surfa	ce Ep	oxy Overlay [5]						
Deck protection	Un	known [8]						
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating 33.5 metric ton = 36.9 tons			
0.4 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine	ne operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Op	perating rating	56.3 metric ton	= 61.9 tons	
	Bridge posting E	Equal to or above I	egal loads [5]	De	esign Load MS	18 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 3230 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 8 % Year 2018 Future average daily tr	raffic 3262 Year 2038						
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 11.6 m = 38.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation cont	trol						
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 3026000 Roadw	vay improvement cost 1772000						
or replacement to it	Length of structure improvement 44.1 m = 144.7 ft	Total project cost 4798000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Suf	ficiency								
Structure status	Posted for of	for other load-capacity restriction [R]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - s	superstructure	erstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure Fair		Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck Poor		Poor [4]		deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge foundat required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection			Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Meets minimu	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is			Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection						Sufficiency rating	58	·	
Culverts Not appl	licable. Used	if structure is not a cul	vert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings Inpected features			ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transitions									
7 11 0			ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety featu									
Inspection date September 2017 [0917] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
'		Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec					
		Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	•	September 20 <sup>2</sup>	September 2017 [0917]		
Other special inspection Not n		Not needed [N]		Other special inspe	ection date				