HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2007 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-35-35 =	077-43-35 = -	
New York [36] Livingston County [051]		West Sparta [81006] 2 MI NW CITY O		DANSVILLE		42.593056	77.726389		
3316770 Highway age		agency district 42	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance	responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		Agency [02]	
Route 0 WHITE BRIDGE RD			Toll On fre	On free road [3] Features intersected CANASERAGA CRE			GA CREEK		
main Iron [9] approach Year b 1 Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other [00] Skew a					Iometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi ear built 1894 Year reconstructed kew angle 0 Structure Flared istorical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]				
Total length 23.1 m = 75.8 ft Length of maximum span 22.5 m = 73.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.2 m = 17.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.4 m = 14.4 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.4 m = 14.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.1 m = 0.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.1 m = 0.3 ft									
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]									
Type of wearing surface Other [9]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface Unknown [8]									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		entory rating	11.8 metric ton =	13.0 tons		
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating rating			Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Op	erating rating	18.1 metric ton = 19.9 tons			
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below [3]					sign Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 103 Average daily tru	uck traffi 6 % Year 2003 Future average daily traffic 143 Year 2023							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure1Approach roadway width4.2 m = 13.8 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Den el control Den la control Diana								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost231000Roadway improvement cost131000							
	Length of structure improvement23.1 m = 75.8 ftTotal project cost362000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2006							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determ	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	cy Somewhat better than mir in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate b in place as is [5]			Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection					Sufficiency rating 23			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	Not applie	cable or a safety feature is no	e or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date August 2006 [0806] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical in	spection date	te August 2006 [0806]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					