The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					42-24-34 =	073-36-59 = -
New York [36]	Columbia County [021]		Chatham [14014]	.6 MI S OF CHATHAM CENTER	42.409444	73.616389
2222160 Highway agency district 81		Owner Railroad [27]	wner Railroad [27] Maintenance responsibility		ip Highway Agency [03]	
Route 0 WHITE MILLS ROAD		Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CSX TRA			
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach O Other	· [00]	Skew angle 0 Structure	reconstructed 1935 Pared In the Indian India	this time. [4]
Total length 21.3 m	= 69.9 ft Len	gth of maximum sp	an 20.1 m = 65.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 7.1 m = 23	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-	-curb 5.7 m = 18.7 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.7 m = 18.7 ft		Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk		0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type	W	ood or Timber [8]				
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/we	earing surface					
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating	4.5 metric ton = 5.0 tons		
0.4 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Operating rating	7.3 metric ton = 8.0 tons	
	Bridge posting	30.0 - 39.9 % belo	ow [1]	Design Load		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 350 Average daily tru	uck traffi 7 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 466 Year						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6 m = 19.7 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Railroad [2]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 2.6 m = 8.5 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 6.1 m = 20.0 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Meets minimum	tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Danair and Danlagement Dlane							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 512000 Roadway improvement cost 307000						
	Length of structure improvement 21.3 m = 69.9 ft Total project cost 819000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Bridge closed to all traffic [K]		Appraisal ratings - structural						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]		s to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge not over waterway. [N	Bridge not over waterway. [N]						
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]	Not applicable. [N]						
Approisal ratings, water adequas	sy N/A [N]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	cy IN/A [IN]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	14.2				
			Sufficiency rating	17.2				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition		sturo monto gurranthy agos	ntable standards [1]					
		pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1] Inspection date September 2009 [0909] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months								
			Months Months					
•	Not needed [N] Every year [Y12]	Underwater inspection date Fracture critical inspection date September 2009 [0909]						
·	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date September 2009 [0909]						
Other Special inspection	INOLLICEUCU [IN]	Other special IIISp	ection date					