HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							12 20 04 04	075 54 42 01
New York [36] Cortland County [023]		Willet [81963] 0.7 MI E JCT RTS 26 + 221				42-28-06.96 = 42.468600	075-54-42.81 = -75.911892	
1018630 Highway agency district: 32		Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Main		responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 26 RTE 26			Toll On fr	ree road [3]	Features intersec	ted WILLET CF	REEK	
Design - main Concrete [1] Arch - Deck [11]		Design - approach Other [00]		Kilometerpoint 7 Year built 1935 Skew angle 5	35 Year reconstructed 1959			
				Historical significand	ce Bridge is	eligible for the	NRHP. [2]	
Total length 14.3 m	= 46.9 ft	Length of maximum s	9.1 m = 29.9 ft	Deck width, out-to	-out 9.8 m = 32.2	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearar	7.3 m = 24.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk v	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0$.	0 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]						
Type of wearing surfa	се	Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection Not applicable (appl		lies only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			g	I	nventory rating	28.5 metric ton	= 31.4 tons	
0.1 km = 0.1 mi	Method to dete	ermine operating ratin	g	(Operating rating	66.5 metric ton	= 73.2 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]]	Design Load			

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 852 Average daily to	ruck traffi 13 % Year 2017 Future average daily traff	fic 860 Year 2038
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contro	
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical cl	learance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral unde	erclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference	e feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]		
Repair and Replacement Plans		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]	
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 254000 Roadway	y improvement cost 149000
or replacement. [34]	Length of structure improvement 14.3 m = 46.9 ft	Total project cost 403000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018	
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number	

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Goo	ndition ratings - superstructure Good [7]		Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure Goo	od [7]	roadway alignment Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - deck Not	Applicable [N]						
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	d to be stable for the asse	essed or calcu	ulated scour conditior	า. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. Reminor stream bed movement of				espread minor da	amage. There is	
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Somewhat better than minimuin place as is [5]	eing left	Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	77.4		
Culverts Not applicable. Used if stru	ucture is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
,		ole or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach guar Traffic safety features - approach guar							
Inspection date August 2017 [081]		ction frequency 24	NA	onths			
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec		515			
·	needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date					
		Other special inspection date					