The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-15-07 =	077-13-01 = -
New York [36]	Steuben County [10	1]	Campbell [11946]	1.8 MI NW OF C	AMPBELL		42.251944	77.216944
2216570 Highway agency district 64		Owner Town or Towns	Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	gency [02]		
Route 0 WOOD ROAD			Toll On fr	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected COHOCTON			RIVER	
Design - Aluminum, W Iron [9] 1 Truss - Thru	rought Iron or Cast	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 189 Skew angle 0 Historical signific	Structure F	constructed 2004 lared s on the NRHP. [1]		
Total length 63 m = 206.7 ft Length of maximum span 63 m = 206.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.4 m = 14.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.1 m = 13.5 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.1 m = 13.5 ft		Curb or sidewalk v	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side		valk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]								
Type of wearing surface Other [9]		Other [9]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface Unknown [8]								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine		ne inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		S) [2]	Inventory rating	29 metric ton = 3	1.9 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to detern	nine operating rating	Allowable Stress(A	S) [2]	Operating rating	42.6 metric ton =	46.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above leg			egal loads [5]	ıl loads [5]				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 417 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 6 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 545 Year 2029								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.5 m = 14.8 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.68 m = 12.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Don't and Don't are all Plans									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 123000 Roadway improvement cost 74000								
(a.)	Length of structure improvement 63 m = 206.7 ft Total project cost 197000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for o	ther load-capacity restriction [R]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Excellent [9]	deck geometry							
Scour	Ů	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than m in place as is [5]	nimum adequacy to tolerate	e being left Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 68.8						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected	feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected	feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpected	feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date August 2008	[0808] Designated i	rspection frequency 24	4 Months						
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspe	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	nspection date August 2008 [0808]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date						