HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-46-00.82 =	075-00-00.62
New York [36]	St. Lawrence County	[089]	Norfolk [51330]	1.5 MILES N OF NOR	WOOD		44.766894	= -75.000172
3341430	Highway agenc	y district 75	Owner County Highwa	y Agency [02]	Maintenance re	sponsibility	County Highway Ag	gency [02]
Route 0	CR 48	A, YALEVILLE	Toll On fro	ee road [3]	eatures intersecte	d RAQUETTE	RIVER	
Design - Aluminum, Iron [9]	Wrought Iron or Cast	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 1.6 Year built 1892	km = 1.0 mi	nstructed 1969	a .	
1 Truss - Th	ru [10]	0 Othe	er [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flan		<u></u>	
				Historical significance	Bridge is 6	ligible for the N	IRHP. [2]	
Total length 53 m =	173.9 ft Len	gth of maximum s	pan 52.1 m = 170.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 4.3 m = 14.1 ft	Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 4 m = 13.1 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	al Horizontal Clearance	3.9 m = 12.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ f}$	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	0	pen Grating [3]						
Type of wearing surfa	once O	ther [9]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	h Method to determ	ine inventory ratin	g No rating analysis o	r evaluation perfor Inve	entory rating 2	.7 metric ton =	3.0 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to determ	ine operating ratin	g No rating analysis o	r evaluation perfor Ope	erating rating 2	.7 metric ton =	3.0 tons	
	Bridge posting	20.0 - 29.9 % be	low [2]	Des	sign Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 179 Average daily to	ruck traffi 2 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 251 Year 2034								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.5 m = 14.8 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designatio No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 2.43 m = 8.0 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 173000 Roadway improvement cost 101000								
or replacement. [6 1]	Length of structure improvement 53 m = 173.9 ft Total project cost 274000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2014								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Suff	ficiency								
Structure status	Posted for lo	ad [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3] Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - s	superstructur	Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure Satis		Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically	nt [2]			
Condition ratings - deck Satisfa		Satisfactory [6]		deck geometry					
Scour			Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection			Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy			Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate I in place as is [5]			Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2	2]	
Pier or abutment protection						Sufficiency rating	0		
Culverts Not appl	icable. Used	if structure is not	a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
				ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected f			ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date October 2015 [1015] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
'		Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date				
		Every year [Y12]			Fracture critical inspection date		[1015]		
Other special insp	Other special inspection Not no			Other special insp	ection date				