The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information			40-38-54 = 082-41-24 = -					
Ohio [39] Morrow County [117]			North Bloomfield [56224] .60 MI.N.OF INT.TR 29 40.648333 82.690000					
5932866 Highway agency district 6		ency district 6	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]					
Route #Num!	TR	250	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected TRIB CEDAR FORK CREEK					
Design - steel [3] main Truss - Thru	[10]	Design - approach O Other	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1915 Year reconstructed 2002 Skew angle 27 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]					
Total length 15.2 m = 49.9 ft Length of maximum span 14.6 m = 47.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.4 m = 14.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.2 m = 13.8 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.2 m = 13.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft								
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Deck protection Wood or Timber [8] Wood or Timber [7]								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating Bridge posting		ermine operating rating						

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 100 Average daily true	ck traffi 0 % Year 1992 Future average daily traffic 139 Year 2027						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fea	ature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost							
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	ondition ratings - superstructur Serious [3]		Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry	is [o]				
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated scour condition. [5]				
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	ed. River control devices	s and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the				
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 24.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	OS						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date September 2010 [0910] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months							
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date							
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	spection date September 2009 [0909]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date				

Unit of Measure: English Structure File Number 5932866 Sufficiency Rating: 24.8 SD			Bridge Inventory Information Inventory Bridge Number:MRW T0050 01727 26 ON TRIB CEDAR FORK CREEK			Report Date 08/21/2012 BM-191 Page: 1 of 2 BR. Type STEEL / TRUSS / THRU Date of Last Inventory Update: 03/20/2012			
District: 06 County MORROW (2)FIPS Code: NORTH BLOOMFIELD TWP (9) Direction of Traffic: ONE LANE FOR 2-WAY TRAFFIC (10) Temporary: N (95) Insp: COUNTY (96) Maint: COUNTY (97) Routine: COUNTY			(101) Location: .60 MI.N.OF INT.TR 29 (103) Route On Bridge: TOWNSHIP (11)Truck Network: N (100) Type Serv: (On): HIGHWAY			(102) Facility Carried: TR50 (104) Route Under Bridge: NON-HIGHWAY (12)Parallel: N (Under): WATERWAY			
(3) Route On/Under: ON Route No.: T0050 Dir: (4) Feature Intersected: TRIB CEDAR FO (5) County: NBL Mileage: 01727	Des: MAINLINE	TOWNSHIP HIGHWAY Pref:	(63) Main Spans Number: 1 Approach Spans Number: 0 Total Spans: 1 (70) Substructure Abut-Rear Matl: CONCRETE	Type: STEEL / TRUSS / TH Type: NONE / NONE / NON (65) Max Span: 48 Ft (71) Foundation and Scour Type: GRAVITY	(6 Information	66) Overall Leng: 50 Ft nd: UNKNOWN (OR OLDER BRIDGE BEING ADDED)			
(6) Avg. Daily Traffic(ADT): 100 (8) Truck Traf: 2 (14) NHS: NO - X (16) Functional Class: Local Road-Rural Intersecto	(7) ADT Year: 1992 (15) Corridor: N		Abut-Fwd Matl: STONE Pier-Pred Matl: NONE Pier-Other Matl: NONE Pier-Other Matl: NONE	Type: GRAVITY Type: NONE Type: NONE Type: NONE	Fr Fr Fr	nd: UNKNOWN (OR OLDER BRIDGE BEING ADDED) nd: NONE/NOT APPLICABLE (SUCH AS CULVERTS) nd: NONE/NOT APPLICABLE (SUCH AS CULVERTS) nd: NONE/NOT APPLICABLE (SUCH AS CULVERTS)			
(22) Route On/Under: Route No.: Dir: (23) Feature Intersected: (24) County: Mileage: (25) Avg. Daily Traffic(ADT): 0	Hwy Sys: Des: Special Desig: (26) ADT Year:	Pref:	No of Piers Predominate: NN (86) Stream Velocity: UUU (189) Dive: N Freq: 0 (189) Date of last Dive Insp:	Other: NN (74) Scour: STABLE: SCOUProbe: Y Freq: 12 (152) Drainage Area: UUU S	JR WITHIN LIMIT (7	other: NN TS OF FOOT/PILE TS) Chan Prot: NONE			
(27) Truck Traf: 0 (28) NHS: - (30) Functional Class: Clearance (154) Min Hriz on Bridge:	(29) Corridor: (36) On the Bridge NC: 0.0 Ft	Strahnt: Not Applicable Card: 13.9 Ft	(156) Min. Horiz Under Clear: (157) Prac Max Vrt Under Clear: (77) Min Vert Under Clear: (78) Min Lat Under Clear:	NC: 0.0 Ft 0.0 Ft NC: 0.0 Ft NC: 0.0 / 0.0 Ft	C:	ard: 0.0 Ft ard: 0.0 Ft ard: 0.0 / 0.0 Ft			
(155) Prac Max Vert On Brg: (67) Min Vrt Clr On Brg: (80) Min Latl Clr: (81) Vrt Clr Lft:	9999.9 Ft NC: 0.0 Ft NC: 0.0 / 0.0 Ft 0.0 Ft	Card: 9999.9 Ft Card: 0.0 / 0.0 Ft	Load Rating Inform (48) Design Load: UNKNOWN [DEFAULT] (83) Operating: 5 Ton Inventory: 4 Ton		(Including calcula	·			
(38) Bypass Length: 04 Miles (39) Latitude: 40 Deg 38.9 Min (40) Toll: ON FREE ROAD (41) Date Built: 07/01/1915	Longitude: 82 Deg 4 (42) Major Rehabilit		Ohio Percent of Legal Load 65 Year of Rating: 2011 (84) Analysis: LOAD FACTOR (LF) (85) Rate Soft: OTHER Analyzed by: DHT Analysis on Bars: NOT ON BARS [DEFAUL		(88) Waterway Ai (89) Approach Al Calc Gen Apprais Calc Deck Geom Calc Undercleara	lignment 7 sal: 3 netry: 5			
 (43) No. Lanes On: 1 (44) Horiz Curve: Deg. Min. (49) App. Rdw Width: 22 Ft (51) Deck Width: 14.5 Ft 	No. Lanes Under: 0 (45) Skew: 27 Deg (50) Brg. Rdw Width Deck Area: 721 Sq.	n: 13.9 Ft	(109) Approach Guardrail: NONE (110) Approach Pavement: BITUMINOUS	Approach	Information (111) Grade: FAI				
 (52) Median Type: NONE / NON BARRIE (53) Bridge Median: NO MEDIAN (54) Sidewalks: (55) Type Curb or Sidewalks: (Left) Matt. NONE 	(left) 0 Ft	(right) 0 Ft	(131) Culvert Type: NONE/NOT APPLICBL (129) Depth of Fill: 0.0 Ft (121) Main Member N/A (CULVERTS, TRU)	General II	(127) Length: 0.0 (130) Headwalls: nformation				
(Left) Matl: NONE (Right) Matl: NONE (56) Flared: N (58) Railing: STEEL POST & STEEL PAN (59) Deck Drainage: OVER THE SIDE (W (60) Deck Type: LAMINATED TIMBER S' (61) Deck Protection: External: NONE Internal: NONE	/O DRIP STRIP)	n-composite	(169) Expansion Joint: NONE (124) Bearing Devices: SLIDING (OTHER)/I (126) Navigation: Control- N (193) Spec Insp: N (188) Fracture Critical Insp: Y (138) Long Member: TWO TRUSSES (RIVE (141) Structural Steel Memb: UNKNOWN	NONE Vert Clr: 0.0 Ft Freq: 0 Freq: 24		Horiz Clear:: 0.0 Ft Date: Date: 2010-08-09 (135) Hinges: NOT APPLICABLE (139) Framing: NONE Railing: OTHER			
Thickness: 00 in (119) Date of Wearing Surface:			Pay Wt: 44 pounds Bridge Dedicated Name:	Prime Loc: UNKNOWN		Paint: OTHER			

Unit of Measure: English **Bridge Inventory Information** Structure File Number 5932866 Inventory Bridge Number: MRW T0050 01727 26

ON TRIB CEDAR FORK CREEK

Report Date 08/21/2012 BM-191 Page: 2 of 2 BR. Type STEEL/TRUSS/THRU Date of Last Inventory Update: 03/20/2012

General Information (Continued) Original Plans Information (---) Hist Significance: NOT HISTORIC (69) NBIS: Y (142) Fabricator: OHIO BRIDGE CO (---) Hist Builder: UNKNOWN Hist Build Year: 1915 143) Contractor: OHIO BRIDGE CO (69) Hist Type: WARREN (RIVETED) (144) Ohio Original Construction Project No.: (161) Special Features (see below): (---) Microfilm Reel: (105) Border Bridge State: Resp % (106) SFN: (151) Standard Drawing: Proposed Improvements Programming Info Aperture Cards: Orig: N Repair: N Fabr: N (90) Type Work: -PID Number: Plan Information Available: 1PLAN INFORMATION AVAILABLE PID Status: (153) Repair Projects (90) Length: Ft PID Date: . / 004 2. 3. (90) Bridge Cost (\$1000s): 0 5. 6. (90) Roadway Cost (\$1000s): 0 8. 9. (90) Total Project Cost (\$1000s): 0 (90) Year: 10. (91) Future ADT (On Bridge): 0 (92) Year of Future ADT: 2033 **Inspection Summary** (I-69) Survey Items Utilities **Special Features** (I-8) Deck: Railings: 0 DOES NOT MEET CURRENT STANDARDS (46) Electric: (161) Lighting: (I-32) Superstructure: 3 Transitions: **0 DOES NOT MEET CURRENT STANDARDS** Ν Ν Gas: Fencina: (I-42) Substructure: 5 Guardrail: **0 DOES NOT MEET CURRENT STANDARDS** Sanitary Sewer: Ν Ν Glare-Screen: (I-50) Culvert: Rail Ends: **0 DOES NOT MEET CURRENT STANDARDS** Telephone: Ν Splash-Guard: Ν (I-54) Channel: 5 In Depth: **0 DOES NOT MEET CURRENT STANDARDS** TV Cable: Ν Catwalks: Ν 5 (I-60) Approaches: Fracture Critical: 1 MEETS CURRENT STANDARDS Water: Ν Other-Feat: Ν (I-66) General Appraisial: 3 Scour Critical: 1 MEETS CURRENT STANDARDS Ν Other: Ν (184) Signs-on: (I-66) Operational Status: P Critical Findings: **0 DOES NOT MEET CURRENT STANDARDS** Signs-Under: Ν Inspection Date: 11/29/2011 Insp. Update Date: 03/13/2012 162) Fence-Ht: 0.0 Ft (94) Desig Insp Freq: 12 Months 163) Noise Barr: Ν SFNs Replacing this retired bridge: SFNs That where replaced by this bridge: This bridge was retired and copied to: The bridge was copied from: INV Field Bridge Marker: MRW-T0050-01727-26

INT Field Bridge Marker:

PONTIS CoRe elements and Condition States

Sufficiency Rating: 24.8 SD

Elem No.	CoRe Element Description	Total Quantity Unit Meas.	Condition State Percents(*)					
			1	2	3	4	5	
		0						
		(*) Percentages S	hou	ld a	dd t	o 10	00%	

STATE OF OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT**

5 9 3 2 8 6 6

Bridge Number $\begin{tabular}{c|c} \hline MRW & T0050 & 01727 & 26 & NORTH BLOOMFIELD TWP \\ \hline CO & ROUTE & UNIT & \end{tabular}$

Date Built 07/01/1915 - 2002

District $\underline{06}$ Bridge Type $\underline{STEEL/TRUSS/THRU}$ Type Service 1 **15 TRIB CEDAR FORK CREEK** MRW DECK Out/Out 14.5 THCK = 0.0 2-LAMINATED TIMBER STRIP 1. Floor 2. Wearing Surface 7-TIMBER W.S. Date = N-NONE 3. Curbs, Sidewalks, Walkways 4. Median 1 6-STEEL POST & STEEL PAN 10 5. Railing 6. Drainage 1-OVER THE SIDE (W/O DRI 7. Expansion Joints N-NONE 1 8. Summary MAX.SPAN=48 SUPERSTRUCTURE 2 9. Alignment 10. Beams/Girders/Slab N-N/A (CULVERTS, TRUSSES TOT.LGTH=50 11. Diaphragms or Crossframes 12. Joists/Stringers 3 13. Floor Beams 14. Floor Beam Connections 2 15. Verticals 16. Diagonals 2 17. End Posts 18. Top Chord 19. Lower Chord 20. Lower Lateral Bracing 21. Top Lateral Bracing 22. Sway Bracing A-SLIDING (OTHER) 23. Portals N-NONE 24. Bearing Devices 25. Arch 26. Arch Columns or Hangers TYPE = 0-OTHER 28. Protective Coating System 27. Spandrel Walls 29. Pins/Hangers/Hinges 30. Fatigue Prone Connections 31. Live Load Response 32. Summary SUBSTRUCTURE 1-STONE PIERS=0 SPANS = 1 2 2 33. Abutments 2-CONCRETE 24 34. Abutment Seats 35. Piers TYPE = N-NONE 25 36. Pier Seats ABUTMENT:=UNKNOWN / UNKNOWN 37. Backwalls 38. Wingwalls 1 5-STABLE: SCOUR WITHIN L 39. Fenders and Dolphins 40. Scour 41. Slope Protection N-NONE 28 42. Summary DIVE DT=N/A **CULVERTS** 43. General 44. Alignment 45. Shape 46. Seams 47. Headwalls or Endwalls 48. Scour 50. Summary **CHANNEL** N-NONE 2 51. Alignment 52. Protection 2 53. Waterway Adequacy 54. Summary **APPROACHES** 55. Pavement 2-BITUMINOUS 3 56. Approach Slabs 57. Guardrail 58. Relief Joints N-NONE 36 BRDG.WIDTH=13.9 37 59. Embankment 60. Summary PCT.LEGAL=65 **ROUTINE.RESP: 3-COUNTY GENERAL** 2 MAINT.RESP: 3-COUNTY 61. Navigation Lights 62. Warning Signs MVC ON=9999 UND=0000 63. Sign Supports 65. Vertical Clearance 66. General Appraisal & Operational Status 67. INSPECTED BY 68. REVIEWED BY **DOT 2852 DECK AREA 721**

STATE OF OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

Type Service <u>1</u> <u>1</u> <u>5</u>

BR-86 REV 02-95

5 9 3 2 8 6 6

1 Structure File Number 7

District **06** Bridge Type **STEEL/TRUSS/THRU**

Bridge Number MRW T0050 01727 26 CO ROUTE UNIT

Date Built 07/01/1915 - 2002

TRIB CEDAR FORK CREEK

NO REMARKS FOUND FOR THIS INSPECTION.

00