

# HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

## Basic Information

Ohio [39]	Jefferson County [081]	Smithfield [72767]	50-Ft N CR10	40-16-19.90 = 40.272194	080-51-03.40 = -80.850944
4131711	Highway agency district: 11	Owner County Highway Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]	
Route #Num!		Smithfield T192	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected PINEY FORK	
Design - main	Concrete [1]	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 3.2 km = 2.0 mi	
1	Arch - Deck [11]	0	Other [00]	Year built 1915	Year reconstructed N/A [0000]
				Skew angle 0	Structure Flared
				Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length	7.6 m = 24.9 ft	Length of maximum span	7 m = 23.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	5.8 m = 19.0 ft
				Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	4.9 m = 16.1 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance	4.9 m = 16.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left	0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Other [9]				
Type of wearing surface	Bituminous [6]				
Deck protection	Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]				
Type of membrane/wearing surface	Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]				

## Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating		Inventory rating	24.5 metric ton = 27.0 tons
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine operating rating		Operating rating	33.6 metric ton = 37.0 tons
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load	M 13.5 / H 15 [2]

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	209	Average daily truck traffi	7	%	Year	2015	Future average daily traffic	290	Year	2040
Road classification	Local (Rural) [09]		Lanes on structure	1		Approach roadway width	4.9 m = 16.1 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft					Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed

Work done by

Bridge improvement cost

0

Roadway improvement cost

0

Length of structure improvement

Total project cost

0

Year of improvement cost estimate

Border bridge - state

Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state

Border bridge - structure number

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]		
Scour	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	79.2
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - transitions			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			
Inspection date	June 2018 [0618]	Designated inspection frequency	12 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date	
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	