HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Ohio [39]	Cuyahoga County	[035]	Hunting Valley [36918]	.256 MI E OF CHG	RN RVR RD		41-29-20.18 = 41.488939	081-23-47.04 = -81.396400
1831038	038 Highway agency district: 12		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance re		responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 19	Route 19 FAIRMOUNT RD CR 19			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CHAGRIN		RIVER		
Design - Steel [3] main 3 Girder and flo	oorbeam system [(Design - approach 03] 0 Other [0	00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1910 Skew angle 0	1737.7 km = 1077.4 Year rec	onstructed 1976		
				Historical significan	ce Bridge is	not eligible for the	ne NRHP. [5]	
Total length 73.5 m = 241.2 ft Length of maximum span 31.7 m = 104.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13.4 m = 44.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 13.4 m = 44.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 13.4 m = 44.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right							1.5 m = 4.9 ft	
Deck structure type Closed Grating [4]								
Type of wearing surface Integral Concrete (separate non-modified layer of concrete added to structural deck) [2]								
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfo		Epoxy Coated Reinforci	sing [1]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (applie		Not applicable (applies	s only to structures with no deck) [N]					
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to dete	rmine inventory rating	Load Factor (LF) rati	ing reported by rati	Inventory rating	32.4 metric ton =	= 35.6 tons	
0 km = 0.0 mi	Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor (LF) rati	ng reported by rati	Operating rating	40.5 metric ton =	= 44.6 tons	
	Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load M 1	8 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 3813 Average daily to	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2015 Future average daily traffic 5292 Year 2040						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 13.4 m = 44.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0						
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	e criteria [9]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 86						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date August 2018 [0818] Designat		ection frequency 18	Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	August 2018 [0818]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date						