HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information	1						39-25-51.74 =	084-12-30.83
Ohio [39]	Wa	rren County [1	65]	Lebanon [42364]	0.1 MI S of E South St		39.431039	= -84.208564
8360081		Highway ago	ency district: 8	Owner City or Municipa	al Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibility	City or Municipal H	ighway Agency [04]
Route #Num!		SE	BROADWAY STRI	EET Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	atures intersected TURTLE	CREEK	
Design - Concre main 2 Arch - I	e [1] Deck [11]		Design - approach	other [00]	Kilometerpoint 64.4 Year built #Num! Skew angle 30	km = 39.9 mi Year reconstructed N Structure Flared	W/A [0000]	
					Historical significance		is not determinable at th	is time. [4]
Total length 30.5	m = 100).1 ft l	ength of maximur	m span 11.3 m = 37.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	11 m = 36.1 ft Bridge i	roadway width, curb-to-cu	urb 6.7 m = 22.0 ft
Inventory Route, 7	otal Hori	zontal Clearar	7.5 m = 24.6	ft Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 1.8 m = 5.9	ft Curb or	sidewalk width - right	1.8 m = 5.9 ft
Deck structure typ	е		Concrete Cast-in	-Place [1]				
Type of wearing s	urface		Bituminous [6]					
Deck protection			Not applicable (a	pplies only to structures with no	deck) [N]			
Type of membrane	e/wearing	g surface	Not applicable (a	pplies only to structures with no	deck) [N]			
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour le	ngth	Method to dete	ermine inventory ra	Load Factor (LF) ration	ing reported by rati Inve	entory rating 29.8 metric t	on = 32.8 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi		Method to dete	ermine operating ra	Load Factor (LF) ration	ing reported by rati Ope	rating rating 49.6 metric t	on = 54.6 tons	
		Bridge posting	Equal to or abo	ve legal loads [5]	Desi	ign Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 11006 Average daily to	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2015 Future average daily	traffic 15276 Year 2040							
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation co	ontrol							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	cal clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral u	underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance refere	ence feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Road	dway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft	Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determin required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erochannel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable c	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection				ufficiency rating	64.2			
Culverts Not applicable. Used Traffic safety features - railings	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - transition	Not applical	ble or a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	- ''							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date May 2018 [0	Designated insp	ection frequency 12	Mont	ths				
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date					