HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						41-35-22.47 =	083-28-25.64
Ohio [39] Wood County [173]		3]	Lake [41328]	0.13 ML N WALBRIDG	.13 ML N WALBRIDGE RD		= -83.473789
8750351 Highway agency district: 2		Owner County Highway	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		ity County Highway A	gency [02]	
Route #Num!	LEN	MOYNE ROAD	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersected CEDA	R CREEK LEMOYNE RD	
Design - Concrete [1] Tee beam		Design - approach 0 Of	ther [00]	Kilometerpoint 4.8 Year built 1932 Skew angle 0	km = 3.0 mi Year reconstructed Structure Flared	N/A [0000]	
				Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible	e for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 10.1 m	= 33.1 ft L	ength of maximum	span 9.1 m = 29.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	1t 8.4 m = 27.6 ft Bridg	e roadway width, curb-to-c	urb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearan	ce $7.3 \text{ m} = 24.0 \text{ f}$	Curb or sidewalk w	oidth - left 0.3 m = 1.0	Oft Curb (or sidewalk width - right	0.3 m = 1.0 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-	Place [1]				
Type of wearing surfa	ce	Bituminous [6]					
Deck protection		Not applicable (ap	oplies only to structures with no	deck) [N]			
Type of membrane/we	earing surface	Not applicable (ap	oplies only to structures with no	deck) [N]			
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour lengtl	Method to dete	rmine inventory rat	ting Load Factor (LF) rat	ing reported by rati Inve	entory rating 24.3 metri	c ton = 26.7 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to dete	rmine operating ra	ting Load Factor (LF) rat	ing reported by rati Ope	erating rating 40.2 metric	c ton = 44.2 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	ve legal loads [5]	Des	sign Load		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 2134 Average daily to	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2015 Futu	re average daily traffic 2962 Year 2040							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traf	Ffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Day of and Daylor word Division									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no	restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstruct	ure Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundation	ons determined to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated scour condition. [5]					
Channel and channel protection	n Bank protection channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adeq	Meets minimum	n tolerable limits to be left in place as is	[4] Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 68.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Us	ed if structure is not a culv	ert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railing	S							
Traffic safety features - trans								
Traffic safety features - appro	•							
Traffic safety features - appro	0							
Inspection date September 2018 [0918] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					