HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-33-32.01 =	083-20-18.24
Ohio [39]	ttawa County [123]		Clay [15546]	3.0 MI. N. JCT SR163)		41.558892	= -83.338400
6235352	Highway agency	y district: 2	Owner County Highway	y Agency [02]	Maintenance	responsibility	County Highway Ag	gency [02]
Route #Num!	MOLIN	IE-MARTIN RD	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersect	ed SOUTH BR	ANCH TURTLE CRE	E
Design - Concrete [1] main		Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 646 Year built 1936	6.8 km = 401.0 m	onstructed N/A	[0000]	
Tee beam [04]		0 Other	[00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared			
				Historical significance	Bridge is	not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 10.1 m = 3	3.1 ft Leng	gth of maximum sp	an 9.8 m = 32.2 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 8.2 m = 26.9 f	Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.3 m = 1.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right						0.3 m = 1.0 ft		
Deck structure type	Со	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface	Bit	uminous [6]						
Deck protection Not applicable (applie		es only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Type of membrane/wear	ng surface No	ot applicable (applie	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine	ne inventory rating	Load Factor (LF) rati	ing reported by rati Inve	entory rating	25.9 metric ton	= 28.5 tons	
0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to determine	ne operating rating	Load Factor (LF) rati	ing reported by rati Ope	erating rating	43.7 metric ton	= 48.1 tons	
	Bridge posting E	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Des	sign Load M 9	/ H 10 [1]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 159 Average daily to	ruck traffi 8 % Year 2015 Futu	ure average daily traffic 221 Year 2040							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 8.2 m = 26.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tra	ffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizonta	I clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical unde	erclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Day in a Library and Disco									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	Open, no restriction [A]		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated	d scour condition. [5]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of r Banks and/or channel have m		rol devices and	d embankment prote	ection have a litt	le minor damage.	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	81.1		
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings Traffic safety features - transition							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Inspection date November 20		ection frequency 12	Mo	onths			
	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date				
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical inspection date					