## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						41-32-19.54 =	081-31-20.75
Ohio [39]	Cuyahoga County [03	35]	South Euclid [73264]	1015' E. OF GREEN R	ROAD	41.538761	= -81.522431
1830082	Highway agenc	y district: 12	Owner County Highway	y Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway Ag	gency [02]
Route 313	Mntice	lo Blvd C313	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersected WEST BR I	EUCLID CREEK	
Design - Concrete [1	]	Design - approach		'	9.9 km = 319.2 mi		
3 Arch - Deck	[11]		r [00]	Year built 1954 Skew angle 19	Year reconstructed 200 Structure Flared	3	
				Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the I	NRHP. [2]	
Total length 112.2 m	= 368.1 ft Len	gth of maximum sp	oan 42.7 m = 140.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 21.3 m = 69.9 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 18.9 m = 62.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	18.9 m = 62.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 1.4 m = 4.6	6 ft Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.4 m = 4.6 ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Pla	ace [1]				
Type of wearing surface	ce Ot	ther [9]					
Deck protection	No	ot applicable (appli	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]			
Type of membrane/we	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length	Method to determi	ne inventory rating	Load Factor (LF) rat	ing reported by rati Inve	entory rating 21.1 metric ton	= 23.2 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determi	ne operating rating	Load Factor (LF) rat	ing reported by rati Ope	erating rating 35.3 metric ton	= 38.8 tons	
	Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % bel	ow [3]	Des	sign Load Other [C]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 17738 Average daily	ruck traffi 7 % Year 2015 Fut	ture average daily traffic 24620 Year 2040						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 26.8 m = 87.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way tra	affic [2] Bridge median Closed median (no barriers) [2]						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizont	al clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical und	erclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
Type of work to be performed								
	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improvement cost 0						
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							
	Ů III I							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to presen	t desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 77.5					
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert	[N]						
Traffic safety features - transition	s							
Traffic safety features - approach		pected feature meets currently accep	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach		pected feature meets currently accep						
Inspection date June 2018 [0	618] Design	nated inspection frequency 18	spection frequency 18 Months					
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date					