HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inform	Basic Information						
Ohio [39]		Mahoning County [099]		Youngstown [88000]	Fifth and Federal	41.102164 = -80.656292	
5058082		Highway agency district: 4		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]	
Route #Num! SPRING COMMON AVE			SPRING COMMON AVE	Toll On fr	ee road [3] Features intersected MAHONING	R PCRR FRONT ST	
Design - mainSteel [3]Design - approach1Arch - Thru [12]2Other		[00]	Kilometerpoint461.8 km = 286.3 miYear built1949Year reconstructed2008Skew angle2Structure FlaredHistorical significanceBridge is eligible for the NF	RHP. [2]			
Total length 131.4 m = 431.1 ft Length of maximum span 82 m = 269.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 25.8 m = 84.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 25 m = 82.0 ft							
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 11.6 m = 38.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.3 m = 7.5 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 2.3 m = 7.5 ft							
Deck structure type Closed Grating [4]							
Type of wearing surface Latex Concrete or simil			Latex Concrete or sin	nilar additive [3]			
Deck protection Not applicable (applies			Not applicable (applie	s only to structures with no	o deck) [N]		
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (applies			Not applicable (applie	s only to structures with no) deck) [N]		
Weight Limi	its						
Bypass, detour lengthMethod to determine inventory rating0.3 km = 0.2 miMethod to determine operating ratingBridge postingEqual to or above legendary		Load Factor (LF) rai Load Factor (LF) rai	ting reported by ratiInventory rating24.6 metric ton =ting reported by ratiOperating rating40.8 metric ton =	27.1 tons 44.9 tons			
		egal loads [5]	Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]				

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 8624 Average daily traffic	ick traffi 10 % Year 2015 Future avera	ge daily traffic 11970 Ye	ear 2040				
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4	Approach roa	dway width 32.3 m = 106.0 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridg	e median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]	J					
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rail	road [8 Lanes under structure 4 Navig	ation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearan	ce 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.03 m = 16.5 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0.6 m = 2.0	ft Minimum	lateral underclearance on left 0 = 1	J/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.22 m = 13.8 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intolera	ble requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of Bridge improvement cost 5700000 Roadwa		Roadway improvement cost	100000				
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 198.1 m =	550.0 ft Total project cost	5800000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percer	nt responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no re	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]					
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well v required or are in a stable co	egetated. River control dev ndition. [8]	vices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not				
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	cy Equal to present desirable cr	iteria [8]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 77.4				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected fea	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns Not applicat	le or a safety feature is not	or a safety feature is not required. [N]				
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail Not applicat	or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends Not applicat	le or a safety feature is not	required. [N]				
Inspection date September 2018 [0918] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months							
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspecti	ion date				
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical insp	Fracture critical inspection date May 2017 [0517]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date					