HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 41-41-29.13 = 080-41-27.05														
Ohio [39]		Ashtabula County [007]			Dorset [Dorset [22344] .22 Ml. W.			OF SR307			41.691425	= -80.690847	
432369			Highway agency district: 4			Owner	Owner County Highway Agency [02]			Mair	ntenance re	esponsibility	County Highway A	agency [02]
Route #Num! TOWER F			OWER R	ROAD	AD Toll On free road [3] Features intersed				s intersecte	ed MILL CRE	EEK			
Design - main Concrete [1] Arch - Deck [11]				esign - oproach	Other [00]	Yea [00] Ske		ometerpoint 35.4 km = 21.9 mi ar built 1925 Year reconstructed N/A ew angle 0 Structure Flared						
Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] Total length 20.7 m = 67.9 ft Length of maximum span 18.9 m = 62.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left O m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right														
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Concrete Cast-in-Pla Bituminous [6]				n-Place [1]										
Deck protection Not applicable (appli				applies only to	structures with no	deck) [N]								
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (appli				applies only to	structures with no	deck) [N]								
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 1.9 km = 1.2 mi Method to determ Method to determ Bridge posting			etermine o	operating		[5]		C	nventory (Operating Design Lo	rating 3	9 metric ton 32.7 metric to	= 31.9 tons n = 36.0 tons		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 95 Average daily to	ruck traffi 7 % Year 2015 F	uture average daily traffic 132 Year 2040					
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1	Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lan	e bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizor	ntal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Dan ein and Danie annant Diana							
Repair and Replacement Plans	Wash days by						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improvement cost 0					
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost 0					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than minim in place as is [5]	um adequacy to tolerate t	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 77.9						
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Inspection date May 2018 [0									
•	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec							
·	Not needed [N] Not needed [N]								
Other special inspection	INOL HEEGEG [IN]	Other special inspection date							