The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information | | | | | | | 41-08-54 = | 082-38-20 = - | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Ohio [39] Huron County [077] | | Peru [62246] 0.2 MI S OF PE | | PERU OLENA RD | | 41.148333 | 82.638889 | | |
| 3936708 Highway agency district 3 | | Owner County Highwa | Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenand | | responsibility | County Highway Agency [02] | | | |
| Route #Num! TOWNLINE 113 | | | Toll On fre | ee road [3] | Features intersec | ted TOWNLINE | 113/E BR HRN RV | | |
| Design - main Steel [3] Truss - T | nru [10] | Design - approach O Other | r [00] | Kilometerpoint Year built 1910 Skew angle 0 Historical significa | Structure F | constructed 1993 lared s not eligible for the | | | |
| Total length 16.2 m = 53.2 ft Length of maximum span 14.6 m = 47.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.6 m = 18.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft | | | | | | | | | |
| Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8] Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7] Deck protection Type of membrane/wearing surface | | | | | | | | | |
| Weight Limits Bypass, detour lenger 1 km = 0.6 mi | Method to determ | nine inventory rating | 0 3 1 | | Inventory rating Operating rating | 19.8 metric ton = 24.3 metric ton = | | | |
| Bridge posting | | | | | Design Load MS 13.5 / HS 15 [3] | | | | |

| Functional Details | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 35 Average daily tr | uck traffi 0 % Year 2004 Future average daily traffic 49 Year 2030 | | | | | | | | |
| Road classification Local (Rural) [09] | Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft | | | | | | | | |
| Type of service on bridge Highway [1] | Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median | | | | | | | | |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure | e exists. [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] | Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A | Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N] | | | | | | | | | |
| Danair and Danlagement Dlane | | | | | | | | | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans | Wards dans by | | | | | | | | |
| Type of work to be performed | Work done by | | | | | | | | |
| | Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost | | | | | | | | |
| | Length of structure improvement Total project cost | | | | | | | | |
| | Year of improvement cost estimate | | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state | | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - structure number | | | | | | | | |

| Inspection and Sufficiency | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status Posted for Io | ad [P] | Appraisal ratings - structural | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3] | | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - superstructur | Condition ratings - superstructur Serious [3] | | Equal to present desirable c | riteria [8] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - substructure | Poor [4] | Appraisal ratings - deck geometry | Better than present minimur | n criteria [7] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - deck | Serious [3] | | | | | | | | |
| Scour | Countermeasures have been | Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7] | | | | | | | |
| Channel and channel protection | Bank protection is being erod channel. [5] | Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5] | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequac | Superior to present desirable | criteria [9] | Status evaluation | Structurally deficient [1] | | | | | |
| Pier or abutment protection | | | Sufficiency rating | 21.2 | | | | | |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used | if structure is not a culvert. [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transition | OS | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach | n guardrail | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends | | | | | | | | | |
| Inspection date December 2010 [1210] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months | | | | | | | | | |
| Underwater inspection | Not needed [N] | Underwater inspec | ction date | | | | | | |
| • | Every two years [Y24] | | | 010 [1210] | | | | | |
| Other special inspection | Not needed [N] | Other special insp | ection date | | | | | | |