

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

West Virginia [54]	Ohio County [069]	Wheeling [86452]	0.39 MI WEST JCT I-70	40-04-30 = 40.075000	080-44-18 = - 80.738333
00000000035A031	Highway agency district 6	Owner State Highway Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]	
Route	US 40	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected OHIO RIVER BACK CHANNEL		
Design - main	Design - approach	Steel continuous [4]	Kilometerpoint	Year built 1893	Year reconstructed 1987
1	Other [00]	4	Truss - Thru [10]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared
		Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]			
Total length	207.3 m = 680.2 ft	Length of maximum span	64.9 m = 212.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	6.7 m = 22.0 ft
Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	6.5 m = 21.3 ft	Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance	6.6 m = 21.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left	1.8 m = 5.9 ft
		Curb or sidewalk width - right	1.8 m = 5.9 ft		
Deck structure type	Steel plate (includes orthotropic) [5]				
Type of wearing surface	Other [9]				
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Inventory rating
0.3 km = 0.2 mi		0 metric ton = 0.0 tons
	Method to determine operating rating	Operating rating
		0 metric ton = 0.0 tons
Bridge posting	00.1 - 09.9 % below [4]	Design Load
		M 13.5 / H 15 [2]

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	13000	Average daily truck traffi	10	%	Year	1987	Future average daily traffic	20280	Year	2007	
Road classification	Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	14 m = 45.9 ft				
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge						Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	3.35 m = 11.0 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]										

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]										
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	2313000	Roadway improvement cost	1341000								
	Length of structure improvement	217.6 m = 713.9 ft		Total project cost	3654000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate											
	Border bridge - state						Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number											

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status

Posted for load [P]

Appraisal ratings -  
structural

Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]

Condition ratings - superstructure

Good [7]

Appraisal ratings -  
roadway alignment

Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]

Condition ratings - substructure

Poor [4]

Appraisal ratings -  
deck geometry

Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]

Condition ratings - deck

Very Good [8]

Scour

Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]

Channel and channel protection

Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]

Appraisal ratings - water adequacy

Equal to present desirable criteria [8]

Status evaluation

Pier or abutment protection

Sufficiency rating

4

Culverts

Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]

Traffic safety features - railings

Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]

Traffic safety features - transitions

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends

Inspection date

April 1990 [0490]

Designated inspection frequency

6

Months

Underwater inspection

Unknown [Y48]

Underwater inspection date

June 1987 [0687]

Fracture critical inspection

Unknown [Y06]

Fracture critical inspection date

October 1989 [1089]

Other special inspection

Unknown [N00]

Other special inspection date