HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation														39-07-28.92	= 084-32-27.01
Ohio [39] Hami			milton County [061]			Ci	Cincinnati [15000] WEST OF I-75 W			VHV INTERCHG				39.124700	= -84.540836	
3137082			Highway agency district: 8			0	Owner County Highway Agency [02]			N	Maintenan	ce respo	onsibility	County Highway	Agency [02]	
Route #Num!			\	WESTE	ERN HILLS	VIAD	Toll On free road [3]			Features intersected WESTERN HILLS VIADUCT						
Design - Steel [3] main 41 Girder and		floorbeam system		n [03]	approach		crete [1] - Deck [11]		Kilometerp Year built Skew ang	1931	0 km =	= 0.0 mi Year ı Structure		ucted 197	8 lared [1]	
					Historical signi			significa	cance Bridge is not eligible for			eligible for	. ,			
Total leng Inventory	th 829.4 m Route, Total				14.7 m = 4		36.6 m = 120.1 Curb or si			0 m =		17.2 M = 5		_	ewalk width - right	14.7 m = 48.2 ft $1.5 \text{ m} = 4.9 \text{ ft}$
Deck stru	cture type			Co	ncrete Cast	in-Place [1	l] 									
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]																
Deck prot	ection															
Type of m	nembrane/we	earing	surface	Oth	ner [9]											
Weight L	imits															
Bypass, detour length 0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine inventor Method to determine operation			,	Ü	, , , , , ,					Inventory rating 28.5 metric ton = 31.4 tons Operating rating 45.7 metric ton = 50.3 tons						
Bridge posting Equal to or above le					bove legal	gal loads [5]			Design Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+Mod [6]							

Functional Details											
Average Daily Traffic 70604 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 6 % Year 2001 Future ave	erage daily traffic 97998 Year 2032									
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 14.6 m = 47.9 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]											
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rai	Iroad [8] Lanes under structure 5 Na	vigation control									
Navigation vertical clearanc											
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.78 m = 15.7 ft											
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]											
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 30.2 m = 99	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 30.2 m = 99.1 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 3 m = 9.8 ft										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 6.76 m = 22.2 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]											
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Equal to present minimum criteria [6]											
Repair and Replacement Plans											
Type of work to be performed	Work done by										
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost										
	Length of structure improvement	Total project cost									
	Year of improvement cost estimate										
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number										

Inspection and Sur	fficiency									
Structure status	Open, would temporary s	I be posted or closed e noring [D]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings -	superstructure	Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - Mee roadway alignment		Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings -	substructure	Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically					
Condition ratings - deck Serie		Serious [3]	d	deck geometry						
Scour		Bridge foundati	ons determined to	be stable for the asse	essed or calc	ulated scour conditio	n. [8]			
Channel and chann	nel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings -	water adequa	Superior to pre	esent desirable cri	teria [9]		Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment pr	rotection					Sufficiency rating 29.5				
Culverts Not app	olicable. Used	if structure is not a cul	vert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings				e meets currently acce						
Traffic safety featu	ures - transitio	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpecte				e meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inped				pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date	September 2	2013 [0913] De	signated inspection	on frequency 12	N	lonths				
Underwater inspe	ection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Underwater insper							
Fracture critical in	nspection	Every two years [Y24]	two years [Y24] Fracture criti			June 2013 [061	[3]			
Other special insp	pection	Not needed [N]		Other special inspe	ection date					