HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						40-30-50.40 =	080-44-10.70		
Ohio [39] Jefferson County [081]		Knox [40866]	200-ft SW CR53		40.514000	= -80.736306			
4131126 Highway agency district: 11		Owner County Highwa	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		County Highway Aç	gency [02]			
Route #Num!	#Num! Know Twp Rd 289			ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected YELLOW (CREEK			
Design - Steel [3] main		Design - approach		'	km = 6.0 mi				
1 Truss - Thru [10] 0		0 Oth	ner [00]	Year built 1901 Skew angle 10	Year reconstructed 197 Structure Flared	9			
				Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible for	the NRHP. [5]			
Total length 32 m = 105.0 ft Length of maximum span 30.8 m = 101.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.8 m = 15.7 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right									
Deck structure type Corrugated Steel [6]						
Type of wearing surface Bituminous		Bituminous [6]	ninous [6]						
Deck protection Not applie		Not applicable (app	t applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (a			olies only to structures with no	deck) [N]					
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			ng Load Factor (LF) rat	ing reported by rati Inve	entory rating 22 metric ton =	24.2 tons			
0.8 km = 0.5 mi Method to determine operating rating			ng Load Factor (LF) rat	ing reported by rati Ope	erating rating 36.6 metric ton	= 40.3 tons			
	Bridge posting	00.1 - 09.9 % b	elow [4]	Des	sign Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 209 Average daily to	ruck traffi 7 % Year 2015 Future average daily traffic 290 Year 2040						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 8.8 m = 28.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 400000 Roadway improvement cost 40000						
action attornor intracequate strength, [55]	Length of structure improvement 32 m = 105.0 ft Total project cost 500000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2019						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck Fair [5]		deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determ	nined to be stable for the ass	essed or calculated scour condition. [8]				
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Better than present minim	num criteria [7]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 39.1				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected	feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transition	ns						
Traffic safety features - approac							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpected	feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date June 2018 [respection frequency 12					
'	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe					
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date				