HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					35-40-38.90 = 096-59-58.75		
Oklahoma [40]	Lincoln County [081]	Unknown [00000]	5.8N OF ROSS		35.677472 = -96.999653		
014120000000000	Highway agency district: 3	Owner County Highway	Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]		
Route #Num!	N3370	Toll On fre	e road [3] Feat	tures intersected DEEP FORI	K RIVER		
Design - Steel [3]		eel [3]	Kilometerpoint 32.2 k	m = 20.0 mi			
main Truca Thru	approach	ringar/Multi haana ar girdar [02]	Year built 1924	Year reconstructed N/A [0000]			
Truss - Thru [10] 2 String		ringer/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared			
			Historical significance	Historical significance is r	not determinable at this time. [4]		
Total length 58.7 m = 192.6 ft Length of maximum span 33.5 m = 109.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.6 m = 15.1 ft							
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left			old th - left $old m = 0.0 ft$	Curb or side	ewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-	Place [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]			uctural deck) [1]				
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wearing surface							
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		ing Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Invent	tory rating 10 metric ton = 1	ng 10 metric ton = 11.0 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine operating ra	ing Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Opera	iting rating 17.2 metric ton =	= 18.9 tons		
	Bridge posting 20.0 - 29.9 %	pelow [2]	Design	n Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 100 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 2013 Fu	uture average daily traffic 1	60 Year 2033			
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1		Approach roadway width	4.6 m = 15.1 ft		
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3		Bridge median			
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]		,			
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizon	tal clearance 0 = N/A				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.96 m = 13.0 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited	Minimum lateral undercleara	nce on left 99.9 = Unlimited	j		
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical un	derclearance reference featur	e Feature not a highway o	r railroad [N]		
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by cont	tract [1]				
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement	87.8 m = 288.1 ft Tota	l project cost 356000			
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2009				
	Border bridge - state	Borde	r bridge - percent responsib	oility of other state		
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck Good [7]		deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protect debris are in the channel. [4]	ction is severely undermin	ined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of				
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not requ	uired [1]	Sufficiency rating 23.6				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date July 2013 [0	713] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	4 Months				
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date				
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	nspection date July 2013 [0713]				
Other special inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Other special inspe	pection date July 2014 [0714]				