

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

New York [36] St. Lawrence County [089] Lisbon [42631] 1.8 MI.NE OF OGDENSBURG 44-43-45 = 44.729167 075-27-20 = - 75.455556

5523230 Highway agency district 75 Owner Local Toll Authority [32] Maintenance responsibility Local Toll Authority [32]

Route 0 OGD-PRES INTER BR Toll Toll bridge [1] Features intersected CAN.QUEENS HWY.2, ST.LAW

Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Steel [3] Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi
 3 Suspension [13] 29 Truss - Deck [09] Year built 1960 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]
 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared
 Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]

Total length 2250.9 m = 7385.2 ft Length of maximum span 348.3 m = 1142.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.9 m = 35.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.9 m = 25.9 ft

Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.9 m = 25.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1 m = 3.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.4 m = 1.3 ft

Deck structure type Open Grating [3]
 Type of wearing surface Other [9]
 Deck protection
 Type of membrane/wearing surface

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 19.9 km = 12.3 mi Method to determine inventory rating No rating analysis performed [5] Inventory rating 32.6 metric ton = 35.9 tons
 Method to determine operating rating No rating analysis performed [5] Operating rating 99.9 metric ton = 109.9 tons
 Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	1306	Average daily truck traffi	10	%	Year	2001	Future average daily traffic	1828	Year	2021
Road classification	Principal Arterial - Other (Rural) [02]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	7.9 m = 25.9 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Highway-waterway [6]		Lanes under structure	3		Navigation control	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]			
Navigation vertical clearanc	36.5 m = 119.8 ft			Navigation horizontal clearance	30.4 m = 99.7 ft					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge				Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	13.99 m = 45.9 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Highway beneath structure [H]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	3.6 m = 11.8 ft				Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	4.64 m = 15.2 ft		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Highway beneath structure [H]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]								
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost	33145000	Roadway improvement cost	19410000						
	Length of structure improvement	2250.9 m = 7385.2 ft		Total project cost	52555000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2011								
	Border bridge - state	Unknown [CAN]			Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number	0								

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]		
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]
Pier or abutment protection	None present but re-evaluation suggested [5]	Sufficiency rating	44.8
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings			
Traffic safety features - transitions			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			
Inspection date	August 2010 [0810]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date	August 2010 [0810]
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	