HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						45-32-26.61 =	122-43-22.79
Oregon [41]	Multnomah County	[051]	Portland [59000]	W END OF NW GORD	ON ST	45.540725	= -122.722997
25B14 000	Highway agen	cy district #Num!	Owner City or Municipa	l Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance respon	City or Municipal Hi	ghway Agency [04]
Route #Num!	NW /	ALEXANDRA AVE	Toll On fre	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersected B	-14 OVER CANYON	
Design - Concrete [** main 1 Arch - Deci	•	approach	erete [1] er and floorbeam system [03]	Year built 1922	n = 0.0 mi Year reconstru Structure Flared	cted N/A [0000]	
				Historical significance	Bridge is eligib	e for the NRHP. [2]	
Total length 82.9 m	= 272.0 ft Le	ngth of maximum sp	oan 45.7 m = 149.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	t 6.9 m = 22.6 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-cu	4.9 m = 16.1 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearance	e 4.9 m = 16.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	idth - left $0.2 \text{ m} = 0.7$	ft C	urb or sidewalk width - right	1.2 m = 3.9 ft
Deck structure type	(Concrete Cast-in-Pla	nce [1]				
Type of wearing surfa	ce	Epoxy Overlay [5]					
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/we	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour lengtl	Method to deterr	nine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating 16.3 r	netric ton = 17.9 tons	
19.9 km = 12.3 mi	Method to deterr	nine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Оре	erating rating 27.2 r	netric ton = 29.9 tons	
	Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % belo	ow [3]	Des	ign Load M 9 / H 10	[1]	

Functional Details												
Average Daily Traffic 109 Average daily tr	uck traffi 1 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 109 Year 2030											
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft											
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median											
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]												
Type of service under bridge Relief for waterway [9]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Not applicable, no waterway. [N]											
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A												
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft												
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]												
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A												
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]												
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]												
Repair and Replacement Plans												
Type of work to be performed Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]												
Widening of existing bridge or other major structure	Bridge improvement cost 871000 Roadway improvement cost 87000											
without deck rehabilitation or replacement [33]	Length of structure improvement 83 m = 272.3 ft Total project cost 1394000											
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011											
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state											
	Border bridge - structure number											

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]							
Scour	Bridge foundations (including	Bridge foundations (including piles) on dry land well above flood water elevations. [9]						
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y N/A [N]	N/A [N]		Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	47.5				
Culverts Not applicable. Used in Traffic safety features - railings	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - transition								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Inspection date September 2		ection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection Unknown [N00]		Underwater inspec						
- L	III I FNIOOT	Fracture oritical inc	enaction data					
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N00]	Fracture critical ins	specifori date					